THE STATUS OF THE CALIFORNIA LEAST TERN

AT SAN DIEGO UNIFIED PORT DISTRICT PROPERTIES

IN 2017

Prepared under Contract

For

San Diego Unified Port District

Photo by Matt Sadowski

By

Robert T. Patton
Consulting Biologist

Final Report
December 2017 (revised April 2018)

SUMMARY

In preparation for the 2017 nesting season at D Street Fill, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service staff and contractors applied herbicide to invasive plant species; and from late March to the first week in April, San Diego Unified Port District staff completed mechanical grading of the site to reduce vegetation and enhance it for use by least terns and snowy plovers. Biological monitors under contract with the Port manually removed non-native invasive plants from the site, pruned back vegetation to reduce cover and potential raptor perches, surveyed the grid system, and placed decoys and ceramic tiles for chick shelters. Predator management was conducted by personnel of US Department of Agriculture, Wildlife Services, and is to be reported separately. Monitoring was conducted from mid-March through early August one to three days per week.

Least terns were first observed at the D Street Fill on 11 April 2017. They were observed each visit after that through 29 July. At least 127 nests were initiated by 93 to 112 estimated pairs between 28 April and 8 July. The maximum number of concurrently active nests and broods was 90 nests with three broods of chicks on 23 May. At least 15 nests were suspected to have resulted from renesting by pairs that lost earlier clutches.

At least 162 chicks from 96 nests hatched successfully. It is estimated that 29 to 31 chicks reached fledgling age and 25 to 27 survived to fledge from the site. Eighteen nests with 23 eggs were abandoned pre-term, and ten eggs failed to hatch and were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch hatched successfully. Seven eggs from six nests died while hatching. At least six nests with six eggs were depredated by common raven, and additional abandoned eggs were scavenged by ravens. The outcome of two nests with two eggs were uncertain, but lack of evidence of hatching or chick presence indicates probable depredation.

One fledgling, and 33 chicks were found dead with no obvious causes of mortality. The carcass of one chick was found away from the nest and with head compressed so was suspected of having been dropped by a gull-billed tern. A gull-billed tern was observed carrying prey that was suspected to be a tern chick. Three chicks were observed being taken by a northern harrier. The remains of one depredated fledgling suggested harrier as responsible, feather piles of two other fledglings suggested peregrine falcon as responsible, and depredated remains of three others were suspected to be from either harrier or peregrine. No other definitive evidence of chick depredation was found, but lack of observations, recaptures, fledglings, and attentive adults indicates that others were likely preyed on. The disappearance of up to 88 to 91 chicks coincided with documented depredation and/or daily disturbances to the colony by northern harrier, peregrine falcon, and gull-billed tern, and visits by common raven, red-tailed hawk, and American kestrel. Other potential predator species observed in the area included ant species, great blue heron, Cooper's hawk, gulls, American crow, European starling, western meadowlark, opossum, rats, California ground squirrel, coyote, feral cat, and striped skunk.

There were no western snowy plovers documented at D Street Fill during the peak of nesting season from late April to late August, and no nests were established by snowy plovers this season. However, 99 plovers were observed foraging on adjacent mudflats during ebbing or low tides prior to nesting season. One banded fledgling suspected of originating from South San Diego Bay NWR was observed roosting on the northwest edge of the site during high tide following nesting season.

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

INTRODUCTION

The California least tern (*Sternula antillarum browni*)(tern) once nested in large, loose colonies on beaches throughout Southern California. Increasing urbanization and habitat loss have led to the decline of its population and shifted much of the nesting to less traditional colony sites such as landfills and airports (California Least Tern Recovery Team 1977). The subspecies has been listed as endangered since 1972 (California Department of Fish and Game 1972, US Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife 1973). The population in California in 1973 was thought to be as low as 300 nesting pairs; by 2009, the population had grown to an estimated 7130 nesting pairs (Marschalek 2009). The breeding population in 2016 was estimated to be 3989 to 4661 pairs (Frost 2017).

This report addresses monitoring and management of the least tern colony site at the "D Street Fill" on the eastern shore of San Diego Bay and south of the mouth of the Sweetwater River under contract with the San Diego Unified Port District (Port) during the 2017 breeding season. San Diego International Airport - Lindbergh Field and the Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve are two other nesting sites located on facilities and properties adjacent to San Diego Bay and within jurisdiction of the Port, but monitoring in recent years has been under separate contract through the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Figure 1).

Guidelines were established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) through informal consultation conducted for the maintenance of the D Street Fill within the Sweetwater Marsh National Wildlife Refuge Planning Area. Work was conducted under Federal Fish & Wildlife Endangered & Threatened Species Permit number TE-789255, Federal Bird Marking & Salvage Permit number 20047-H, National Wildlife Refuge Special Use Permit, and State of California Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) regarding California least tern and western snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus nivosus*).

STUDY AREA

Least terns have nested on the sand-shell substrate of dredge spoil at the "D Street Fill",

south of the mouth of the Sweetwater River, along the eastern shore of San Diego Bay, since 1973 (WESTEC 1981). This site is managed jointly by the Port and the USFWS as part of the Sweetwater Marsh National Wildlife Refuge. Colony size and reproductive success have varied widely from year to year depending on the availability of nesting habitat with low vegetation height and density, availability of prey fish, predation and predator presence, and human disturbance. Annual least tern productivity at D Street Fill is summarized in Appendix A. Notable events in the site's history included it being abandoned by nesting terns in 1981 and 1990 (Copper 1981, Obst and Johnston 1992), and the colony being re-established with up to 135 nests in 1992 (Caffrey 1993). At least 41 nests were established at D Street in 1997, but there were significant losses to predation, and only seven nests were established in 1998 (Patton 1998a & 1998b). Nest numbers increased to 36 in 1999, but remained relatively low through 2002 when 24 nests were initiated (Patton 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002). Numbers then increased with 91 nests in 2003 and 111 in 2004. Since 2005, annual nest numbers have ranged from 100 in 2006 to 148 in 2008 and 2014; and annual fledgling production has ranged from nine individuals in 2012 to 36 in 2014 (Patton 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016). Over the past 10 years, annual numbers of fledglings produced per nest have ranged from 0.08 to 0.29 and numbers of fledglings per pair from 0.10 to 0.33.

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Nests	130	148	132	119	116	114	144	148	123	118
Fledglings	25-28	17-24	19-29	15-27	25-32	9	23-32	28-36	21-34	21-22

The site consists of a roughly rectangular peninsula of dredge deposits with relatively even topography, bordered by saltmarsh, mudflats, and San Diego Bay to the west, the historic Sweetwater River channel and saltmarsh to the south, the Sweetwater River flood control channel and saltmarsh to the north, and channels and saltmarsh of Sweetwater and Paradise Marshes to the east. A vehicle bridge and railroad trestle provide access from the north, a second trestle provides access from the south, but chain-link fencing and bollards limit accessibility to the bridges. The area of historic use by terns is further protected by a six-foot-tall chain link fence running north-south across the eastern end of the site and is closed to unauthorized access. Vegetation is diminished by mechanical grading or dragging prior to each nesting season and species assemblages

altered to resemble coastal strand habitat. Ceramic tiles are laid out at grid intersections of 30 meter squares to assist in nest mapping and provide shade and shelter for chicks.

Aerial view of Sweetwater Marsh, D Street Fill, and Sweetwater River.

METHODS

Site Preparation

The eastern portion of the nesting area (grid rows 18 through 24) was again left heavily furrowed this year. This initially had been intended as erosion control for a component of a wetland mitigation project completed by San Diego Gas and Electric in 2015 to 2016; but then was left in an effort to limit the spread of seeds following discovery of a highly invasive non-native weed, broad-leaved or perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*), that had apparently been introduced to the site by equipment involved in the mitigation project. Monitors flagged individuals or patches of *Lepidium*, including within grids 16 and 17 of the prepared nesting area, and contractors, staff of the Port, and staff of the San Diego National Wildlife Refuge Complex applied herbicide. Refuge staff also applied herbicide to invasive non-native iceplant (*Carpobrotus* sp.) scattered around the site, and to recently established stands of Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*) in the western portion of the nesting area.

Prior to any site preparation efforts after mid-February, the site was surveyed for the

presence, courting or nesting of western snowy plovers, and for nests of other species. From late March to early April, Port staff conducted mechanical grading of the site to reduce vegetation and further enhance it for use by terns and snowy plovers. Additional new growth of vegetation in the nesting area was reduced by manual weeding, most notably mustard (*Brassica*) species. Vegetation around the periphery of the cleared area was pruned back by contract monitors to limit predator perches and cover, most notably *Baccharis* species. Manual weeding also targeted reducing invasive plant species, particularly iceplant, garland chrysanthemum (*Glebionis coronaria*), and Russian thistle (*Salsola* sp.).

Monitors surveyed a 30 m grid system and placed ceramic roofing tiles at each grid intersection to assist in nest mapping and provide shade and shelter for chicks. Existing perimeter signs indicating that the area is an endangered species nesting site were repaired or replaced. Ceramic, plastic, and papier-mache decoys were placed in three groups of 20 each in the central portion of the cleared site and in the western third where the majority of nests have occurred in the past. At least half of each decoy group was arranged to simulate single birds (spaced 1.0 to 2.0 m apart) and the remainder of each decoy group set as pairs of birds (spaced approximately 15.0 cm apart) according to Burger (1988).

Mechanical grading to reduce vegetation and prepare the site for least tern nesting.

Monitoring

The site was monitored one to three times per week by one to six people for one to four

hours. Each visit was supervised by at least one senior monitor with extensive experience with nesting least terns, snowy plovers, and their young. Weekly monitoring for snowy plovers was conducted at D Street Fill beginning in early March. The site was monitored for terns and plovers for approximately two hours each visit from 15 through 30 April. During the peak season of May through July, monitoring time was increased to four hours per visit to accommodate nest location, marking, and chick banding and recapture. The time of day of the site visits varied, but efforts were made to conduct censuses during the cooler hours of the day (before 1300 or after 1600) to avoid causing heat stress to chicks. Monitoring was rescheduled in cases of precipitation or high winds. Due to the continued presence of terns, monitoring continued twice per week to early August until the terns departed. Monitoring was discontinued when no least terns had been observed for three consecutive visits. The final monitoring visit for 2017 was on 29 August for D Street Fill.

Monitoring methodology was adapted from that described by Foster, Hyde, and Patton (1982). Monitoring visits typically involved scanning the site from the perimeter with binoculars and/or spotting scope and recording observations in a site log book, on daily site maps, and on daily standardized data forms (Appendix B). Log books, master nest lists, maps, band lists, and specimen/mortality lists were maintained for each site. Log book entries were made for every visit, including the name(s) of the observer(s), the date and the times of the visit, and any significant observations. To minimize disturbance, additional observations were made from within a portable blind used within the colony and along the perimeter. Likewise, observations were made using the vehicle as a blind from along the perimeter road.

Each visit, transects were walked along the grid system to locate and record nests, chicks, or signs of disturbance. Monitors noted presence and location of predators on or in the vicinity of the site. Conditions of nests and decoys were checked, and any abandoned eggs, eggshell fragments, bone, feathers, carcasses, or damaged decoys were collected. If tracks or other signs of predator presence were noted, predator management personnel were notified. Egg abandonment or nonviability was determined by the eggs being present over 40 days or the eggs being cool and unturned with no attending adult observed at or near the nest for at least three consecutive visits.

Nests located at D Street Fill were marked by numbered wooden tongue depressors placed vertically in the sand one to two meters west of each nest. Nest numbers were assigned by order of discovery.

Chick Banding

An attempt was made to band all chicks. Chicks were banded on the right leg with an individually numbered USFWS metal band. Whenever feasible, the chicks were weighed with an Acculab 150 or Ohaus 320 gram electronic scale and a right wing chord measurement taken, both at initial banding and each recapture. One or both of these measurements were at times omitted to save time and reduce disturbance to the colony. The nest number from which the chick originated was noted if known. Band recapture data was used to estimate chick survival and fledging success, and band recovery data was used to quantify mortality and predation. In addition, banding of chicks provides for future recapture and recovery of bands to document longevity, dispersal, and to correlate age and colony of origin with breeding location, effort, success, and other factors.

Banding a least tern chick. Photo by John Mitchell

Fledgling Estimation

Estimates of fledgling numbers were derived from a combination of two approaches: the first being to assume that all chicks recaptured with a wing length of 67 to 84 millimeters (14 to 17 days of age; unpublished data, C. Collins, E. Copper) or greater will fledge; the second, to total the

number of fledglings observed every two to three weeks, on the assumption that fledged birds stay approximately two weeks at the colony after fledging (Thompson and Slack 1984, Massey 1989). The resulting range was used to estimate of the number surviving to fledging age. The number of documented dead or depredated fledglings was then subtracted for the estimate of young surviving to fledge from the site.

Predator Management

Management of avian and mammalian predators was conducted by personnel of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Wildlife Services (USDA WS) under a separate contract. Predator management activities are to be reported separately by that agency. Sick or injured birds were taken to Project Wildlife for veterinary treatment and possible rehabilitation and release. Abandoned egg, chick and adult carcass specimens were collected and frozen, pending direction from USFWS for isotope and/or contaminant analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Least terns were observed from 11 April through 19 August 2017 at and adjacent to properties and facilities of the Port. At the three Port and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority sites, 247 nests were established from 28 April to 8 July (Appendix C). At least 55 to 67 tern young are estimated to have fledged from San Diego International Airport - Lindbergh Field, D Street Fill, and Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve.

Breeding Chronology

California least terns were observed at the D Street Fill nesting site from 11 April through 29 July. Approximately 93 to 112 pairs established 127 nests spread throughout the site but with most in the central western portion of the site (Figure 2). Observations of birds late in the season consisted of foraging along adjacent shoreline and loafing on the adjacent mudflats.

The pair estimation method used for the CDFW breeding pair index for tracking long-term trends is based on the total number of nests established before 15 June plus half the number of nests

established 15 June and later. This two-tiered approach is an attempt to standardize pair estimates and take into account the renesting of pairs that had lost earlier clutches as well as young birds breeding for the first time and arriving late from wintering grounds (Massey and Atwood 1981). However, given the lack of productivity in recent seasons, particularly with significant predation around San Diego Bay, the question has been raised as to whether the maximum number of concurrently active nests may be a more accurate measure of the number of breeding pairs. The CDFW method results in a maximum index estimate of 124 breeding pairs this season. However, up to 15 nests were lost prior to 20 June. Timing of these losses and new nest initiations suggests that at least 15 nests could have resulted from renesting by pairs that had lost earlier clutches or broods, leading to a maximum number of 112 breeding pairs. The maximum number of concurrently active nests and broods was 93 with 90 nests and three broods of chicks on 23 May.

Typically, nest initiation occurs in early May (Massey 1974), with this year's first nest in late April being among the earliest. Figure 3 depicts graphically the chronology of nesting events at the D Street Fill in 2017. The numbers of active nests plotted in Figure 3 were those nests being tended by an adult. The majority of nests (93%) were initiated between 28 April and 6 June. Four more nests were then established from 9 to 13 June. Two nests were established from 17 to 20 June, then two more on 27 June. The remaining nest was found on 3 July. The number of active nests plotted in Figure 3 diverged from the number of total nests in early to mid-May due to the abandonment of three nests. Divergence between numbers of active and total nests increased in late May through June with hatching of chicks and additional nest abandonments. Active nest numbers dropped through June and early July with chicks hatching, reduced nest initiation, and losses to nest abandonment and predation.

Nest Distribution

All nesting attempts occurred on the sparsely vegetated, mechanically cleared portion of the site, with light-colored sand-shell substrate (Figure 2 and Appendix C). Late spring rainfall resulted in significant vegetative cover in portions of the site. The majority of nests were located in the central western half of the site with the densest nesting in the southwest portion of this concentration of nests. Other nests were established radiating from this primary concentration of nests, with a secondary scattering of nests extending to the east. The advantages of group defense

and/or adherence and the influence of colony formation/nest-site selection factors on nest distribution patterns within a colony have been previously demonstrated (Coulson 1968, Siegal-Causey and Hunt 1986, Patton and Foster 1984).



Least tern nest distribution at D Street Fill in 2017.

This season, 18 nests were established farther east in the site than most nests in past years. Reasons for this expansion in nest distribution are speculative, but likely include vegetation reducing the area available for nesting elsewhere on the site, predator disturbance in the main colony nest cluster, and proximity to the restored tidal channel along the northeast edge of the site with its increased prey availability. Although most nests each year have been focused in the central western site, terns and plovers regularly nested farther east when the site had significantly more open area and less peripheral vegetation in the 1980s.

Appendix D lists nest numbers and distribution for the site over the past ten years. Generally each season the site is cleared so that 30 m wide grid rows numbered 1 through 24 are established west to east on the site, although clearing in some years has not extended beyond row 19 (see Figure 2). From 1997 through 2003, no nests were documented east of grid row 12. Three

nests were established in rows 13 and 14 in 2004, and one nest was in row 13 in 2005. Since 2006, eight to 20 nests have been established each season in rows 13 through 20. Each year at least since 1997 this area has been cleared of vegetation, except in 2005 when miscommunication resulted in the eastern portion of the site not being disked. In 2003, a portion of the northeastern fill north of rows 18 through 24 was excavated for saltmarsh and tidal channel restoration. In 2011, prior to the breeding season, a portion of the northwestern fill, grid rows 1 through 10, A through E, was excavated for the L-ditch mitigation project. Terns have been observed foraging in the channels of both these mitigation areas and roosting with their fledglings on adjacent shoreline. A portion of the southeastern fill southeast of row 18 was excavated prior to the 2016 season as a component of an San Diego Gas and Electric wetland mitigation project. Terns were not observed using the newly excavated channels in the southeastern portion of the site this season, but are likely to in the future.

Clutch Size

Ninety-three to 112 estimated pairs of least terns established 127 nests with 210 eggs at the D Street Fill in 2017. The average clutch size was 1.65 eggs per nest with 83 two-egg clutches and 44 single egg clutches (Table 1). This average clutch size was lower than the 2.15 recorded by Massey in her initial study of least tern breeding biology (1974) but slightly above the 1.63 average recorded at this site over the ten previous years (Appendix C). Reduced average clutch sizes have been noted to indicate locally reduced food availability (Atwood and Kelly 1984).

Hatching Success

Over 77 percent of the eggs at D Street Fill hatched successfully this season, resulting in an average of 1.28 chicks per nest and 1.69 chicks per nest that experienced hatching (Table 1). This was lower than in 2015 but slightly higher than last year and a substantial increase over that of the 2012 season when nest predation and abandonment severely limited hatching success (Patton 2012, 2015, 2016). Nest abandonment was still the primary known limiting factor to hatching success, with 14 percent of nests abandoned pre-term (18 nests). Eight additional eggs were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch hatched. Although only six nests were documented to have been depredated, the outcome of two additional nests was unknown and predation likely, either of eggs

or of recently hatched chicks. These undetermined nest outcomes coincided with documented predation of eggs and chicks. Nest abandonments were likely influenced by predator presence and/or possible depredation of one or both adults.

Least tern nest with egg and freshly hatched chick.

Chick Banding

In 2017, 103 chicks from at least 69 nests were banded at D Street Fill. Chicks were banded on the right leg with USFWS metal bands individually numbered 1781-96346 through -96349, and -97402 through -97500.

Fledging Success and Seasonal Production

In 2017, 34 to 36 chicks are estimated to have reached fledging age this season and 25 to 27 are estimated to have survived to fledge from the colony. Productivity was thus 0.20 to 0.21 fledglings per nest, 0.22 to 0.29 per pair. Although relatively low, this was slightly higher than last year and a substantial increase over that of 2012 when fledgling success was severely limited by predation and mortality to only nine fledglings (Patton 2012, 2016).

Figure 4 depicts daily numbers of hatchings and observed numbers of fledglings. The temporal distribution of hatching reflected the early pulse of nesting and corresponding hatching of

88 percent of the chicks from 20 May to 16 June. This pattern in hatching numbers is in turn reflected in the numbers of fledglings three weeks later. However, the contrast between the two curves is notable, with daily numbers of observed fledglings reduced from earlier hatching numbers due to mortality and predation limiting the number of chicks reaching fledging age. Fledglings generally remain at the colony site for two weeks after first flying, as reflected in the number of observed fledglings peaking later than three weeks after the peak of hatching. Fledgling numbers dropped as the young gained flight experience and dispersed from the colony with the adults. The late season variations in day-to-day observed numbers of fledglings indicated dispersal to foraging and roosting areas and return visits to the colony site.

Chick recovery for band recapture and growth measurement data was complicated at times by vegetative cover conditions, weather fluctuations, and predator presence. Fledgling survival estimates were complicated by fledgling mobility, the inability to collect recapture data to identify individuals, their tendency to shift to roost and forage along the shoreline of the bay, and possibly by the arrival of fledglings from other colonies. However, the consistency of twice-weekly counts of numbers of chicks observed, recaptures, and fledglings, with the corresponding observations of predator presence, depredation, and recovery of carcasses, supports the accuracy of this season's fledgling estimate.

Least tern fledgling. Photo by Matt Sadowski

Mortality

Fourteen percent of nests (18 nests) with 23 eggs were abandoned after one to 32 days of incubation (Table 2). Eggs of eight two-egg clutches failed to hatch and were abandoned after the other in each clutch hatched, and another two were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch died hatching. Five additional eggs from four nests also died hatching. Three fledglings and 33 chicks were found dead of undetermined causes (22 percent of those hatched).

	Hatched	Abandoned Pre-term	Abandoned Post-term (Failed to Hatch)	Died Hatching	Uncertain Outcome	Predation	Non-predation Mortality
Nests	96	18	10	6	2	6	
Eggs	162	23	10	7	2	6	
Chicks						4-5	33
Fledglings						6	3
Adults						0	0

The majority of chick mortality and nest abandonment occurred through June and early July when depredation and daily disturbances to the colony by predators were documented. Some nest abandonment and chick mortality were possibly related to depredation of one or both adults. The high number of chick deaths also coincided with high mortality rates reported at other colonies (M. Post, pers. comm.), and with delayed nesting and lower than usual numbers of most breeding species of seabirds in the county (R.P., unpubl. data). Such mortality may be related to localized decreases in prey fish availability during this critical period of the season, possibly due to shifting currents or sea surface temperatures. However, without regular sampling of the appropriate size fish, such conclusions as to fluctuations in prey availability are only speculative.

Predation

Six nests with six eggs were reported as having been depredated by common raven (*Corvus corax*) this season (Tables 1 and 2). Additional previously abandoned eggs were also scavenged by ravens. The outcomes of two other nests with two eggs were uncertain, but lack of evidence of hatching or chick presence indicated probable depredation.

The carcass of one chick was found away from the nest and with its head compressed,

suspected of having been dropped by a gull-billed tern (*Gelochelidon nilotica*). A gull-billed tern was observed carrying prey that was suspected to be a tern chick. Three chicks were observed being taken by a northern harrier (*Circus cyaneus*). The remains of one depredated fledgling suggested harrier as responsible, feather piles of two other fledglings suggested peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) as responsible, and depredated remains of three others were suspected to be from either harrier or peregrine. Additional chicks were suspected of being taken by each of these species.

	Northern Harrier	Harrier or Peregrine	Peregrine Falcon	Gull-billed Tern	Common Raven
Nests					6
Eggs					6
Chicks	3			1-2	
Fledglings	1	3	2		
Adults					

No other definitive evidence of chick depredation was found, but lack of observations, recaptures, fledglings, and attentive adults indicates that others were likely preyed on. The disappearance of up to 88 to 91 chicks coincided with documented depredation and/or daily disturbances to the colony by northern harrier, peregrine falcon, and gull-billed tern, and visits by common raven, red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), and American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*). Other potential predator species observed in the area included ant species, great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*), Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), gull species (*Larus* spp.), American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*), European starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*), western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*), opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*), rats (*Rattus* spp.), California ground squirrel (*Spermophilus beecheyi*), coyote (*Canis latrans*), feral cat (*Felis catus*), and striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*).

Snowy Plovers and Other Species

The maximum number of snowy plovers recorded on a single survey this year was 99 which were observed pre-season foraging on mudflats west of the nesting site during ebbing to low tide. However, the species was only observed on five dates, and only once post-breeding. None were

observed during the peak of nesting season from late March through August and no nests were found. One banded fledgling suspected of originating from South San Diego Bay NWR was observed roosting on the northwest edge of the site during high tide in late August. Site suitability for nesting by snowy plovers had decreased due to encroaching saltmarsh vegetation and its increasing density where mudflats used to exist adjacent to the southwest and northwest fill so that plovers and young no longer have access between foraging and nesting habitats. The last documented nesting attempt by snowy plovers at D Street Fill was in 2000. The excavation of the northwest edge of the site to an unvegetated gentler slope adjacent to mudflats in 2011 increased the potential for plover use of the site and for nesting to be re-established. However, saltmarsh vegetation has filled in along this shoreline as well.

Observations of snowy plovers this season again demonstrated the importance of Sweetwater Marsh bayfront tidal flats to the species. Color-banded plovers observed roosting at high tide along ocean-facing beaches of Naval Amphibious Base Coronado have been observed to spread out along the beach as tide ebbs, then cross the bay to forage as mudflats adjacent to the mouth of the Sweetwater River are exposed during receding low tide (unpubl. data, E. Copper and US Navy). The distance to the flats adjacent to the D Street Fill and Sweetwater Marsh is approximately 1.75 miles from the Orange Beach/north Silver Strand State Beach roost site and 3.25 miles from the Red/Yellow Beach roost site. The numbers of foraging birds observed off D Street Fill represent a good proportion of those roosting along the Silver Strand.

No attempt was made to document all nests or all species nesting at D Street Fill. However, nests encountered during monitoring for terns and snowy plovers were marked, mapped, and contents recorded (Figure 2). Killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*) established at least seven nests within the interior and on the northwest and west slopes of the site. Horned larks (*Eremophila alpestris*) appeared to nest throughout the site, and at least 15 nests were found within the tern colony. Belding's savannah sparrows (*Passerculus sandwichensis beldingi*) and western meadowlarks were present and singing throughout the season, indicating probable nesting of both species adjacent to the prepared colony site. Although breeding was not confirmed, a federally endangered light-footed clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris levipes*) was observed along the northeast shore. Other sensitive species observed on-site this season included San Diego black-tailed jackrabbit (*Lepus californicus bennettii*), and two low-growing coastal strand plant species

considered endangered by the California Native Plant Society (CNPS): coast wooly-heads (*Nemacaulis denudata*) and Nuttall's lotus (*Lotus nuttallianus* = *Acmispon prostratus*).

Least tern chicks in nest.

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Site preparation, monitoring, and predator management efforts should continue as implemented in 1997 and modified each season since. Marking of permanent grid intersections with rebar or PVC would reduce site preparation time and cost. The use of a portable tower blind may enhance chick counting and recapture efforts. The use of color bands to identify least tern chicks by natal colony would enhance fledgling estimates and provide long-term data and insight on colony dynamics and recruitment.

Mechanical scraping of the site should continue and be planned for mid-February each season to precede potential snowy plover nest-site selection, with additional vegetation control done by early April if no plovers are nesting. Within the limitations of recent budget reductions, efforts should be made to secure appropriate staff, equipment, and budget prior to each season to ensure adequate site preparation. This would include experienced operator(s) communicating with monitors, agency, and Wildlife Services personnel, and access to a road grader or a four-wheel-

drive tractor with Gannon box or equivalent box scraper.

Additional mechanical scraping to reduce vegetation in the off-season has been recommended previously and should continue to be considered as an option. The possibility of herbicide application should continue to be considered, particularly in light of the shift in abundance and distribution of mustard throughout the site this season. Continued monitoring and herbicide treatment of perennial pepperweed and Bermuda grass will be necessary. Non-native and peripheral scrub vegetation should continue to be removed to reduce encroachment of these species on the site as well as to reduce potential predator perches. Efforts in recent years have been successful in reducing non-native invasive plant species, including iceplant, mustard, sea-rocket (*Cakile maritima*), cocklebur (*Xanthium spinosum*), pampas grass (*Cortaderia* sp.), garland chrysanthemum, sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*), Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*), Brazilian pepper tree (*Schinus terebinthifolius*), tamarisk and *Acacia* sp.. Coordination is needed in removal of any piles of vegetation or soil generated. Previous seasons, piles of manually removed iceplant had been deposited and left within the nesting site, creating small hummocks used by raptors and corvids, and creating the potential for the site-clearing equipment to spread the iceplant into the nesting area.

If snowy plover nesting is to be re-established, the access points between upland nesting habitat and tidal flat foraging habitat would need to be maintained and expanded. Encroachment of vegetation along the fill periphery and increasing density of saltmarsh vegetation between the fill and western tidal mudflats appears to have formed enough of a barrier to plover chicks that site-selecting adults have abandoned D Street as a nesting site. Non-vegetated pathways at least three to eight meters wide would need to be cleared through the saltmarsh to make the site again suitable for use by snowy plovers. However, current regulatory processes appear to not recognize the importance of non-vegetated tidal mudflat habitat for shorebirds and to prevent maintenance or creation of such pathways without mitigation for saltmarsh.

The signs installed in past seasons and replaced this season may have reduced human intrusion into nesting areas. Interpretive/informational signs or kiosks at entrances or adjacent focal points of public activity, recreation, viewing, or access would lessen the need for confrontation or law enforcement, lessen the likelihood of impacts such as colony disturbance, chick and egg losses, and increase public awareness, cooperation, understanding, and support. To limit use by perching

raptors, all signs should be topped with anti-perching hardware such as Nixalite.

The level of predation each season, despite the prompt response by USDA WS staff to perceived predation problems or threats, illustrates the difficulty in management of endangered species on the periphery of urbanized areas. The difficulty in dealing with evasive predators justifies continued reliance on the experienced staff of USDA WS for predator management. In light of the continued decline in the local snowy plover population, proactive monitoring of potential predator species should begin at least by 1 March if not 1 February, and precautionary trapping efforts maintained at all sites throughout the season. The administrative difficulties experienced by USDA WS personnel in recent seasons in attempting to obtain permission to live-trap and relocate harriers and peregrines need to be addressed and protocol established before each season. Likewise, the ability to hold trapped raptors until late in the season to limit continued impacts if/when they return following release should be reinstated. The recent requirements to release raptors within 72 hours of trapping has been shown to have limited effectiveness in reducing predation and limited success in improving raptor health or survivability (USDA WS data; B. Shemai USMC data).

The populations of scavengers and potential predators such as corvids and gulls have increased dramatically in Southern California in recent years. An aggressive policy of corvid removal and deterrence to gull nesting should be incorporated at each site. Daily disturbance to gulls loitering at sites may be necessary, and if that is not sufficient, removal of nesting individuals and their eggs may be warranted. Control of other mammalian and avian predators should continue, and permits, personnel, and equipment secured for at least early March through September.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In addition to the author, field work was conducted by subcontract biologists Monica Alfaro, Mark Billings, Brian Foster, Kate Goodenough, Jennifer Jackson, Thomas Myers, Matt Sadowski, and Lea Squires, Brian Collins and Edward Owens of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Shauna Wolf completed computer data entry. Eileen Maher, Timothy Barrett, Brent Eastty, and Joely Habib of the San Diego Unified Port District administered the contract and were responsible for coordination of the project. Timothy Barrett and Tom Ortiz of San Diego Unified Port District completed GIS data entry and produced maps. Charles Classen and personnel of San Diego Unified Port District completed pre-season grading of the site. David Hunt, Mark Stahl, and personnel from U.S. Department of Agriculture Wildlife Services provided predator management and monitoring. Appreciation goes out to the personnel of the San Diego Unified Port District, and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service San Diego Refuge Complex for access, site preparation and maintenance, and their assistance and cooperation.

LITERATURE CITED

Atwood, J.L., and P.R. Kelly. 1984. Fish dropped on breeding colonies as indicators of least tern food habits. Wilson Bull. 96:34-47.

Burger, J. 1988. Social attraction in nesting least terns: effects of numbers, spacing, and pairbonds. Condor 90:575-582.

Caffrey, C. 1993. California least tern breeding survey, 1992 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Nongame Bird & Mammal Section Rep. 93-11, Sacramento, CA. 35 pp.

_____. 1994. California least tern breeding survey, 1993 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Nongame Bird & Mammal Section Rep. 94-07, Sacramento, CA. 39 pp.

_____. 1995. California least tern breeding survey, 1994 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Bird & Mammal Conservation Program Rep. 94-3, Sacramento, CA. 49 pp.

_____. 1998. California least tern breeding survey, 1996 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Bird & Mammal Conservation Program Rep. 98-2, Sacramento, CA. 57 pp.

California Department of Fish and Game. 1972. At the crossroads: a report on California's endangered and rare fish and wildlife. 99 pp.

California Least Tern Recovery Team. 1977. California least tern recovery plan. Unpublished draft.

Copper, E. 1980. Least tern breeding season in San Diego County, 1980. Unpublished report.

_____. 1981. Least tern breeding season in San Diego County, 1981. Unpublished report.

_____. 1987. Final report on least tern nesting in San Diego County, 1987. Unpublished report.

_____. and R. Patton. 1985. California least tern nesting, San Diego County, 1985. Unpublished report.

Coulson, J.C. 1968. Differences in the quality of birds nesting in the centre and on the edges of a colony. Nature 217:478-479.

Foster, B., K.M. Hyde, and R. Patton. 1982. 1982 observation and management of the California Least Tern site, Naval Air Station, North Island, San Diego, CA. Unpublished report.

Frost, N. 2017. California least tern breeding survey, 2016 season. CA Dep. Fish & Wildlife, Wildl. Branch, Nongame Wildl. Program Rep., 2017-03. Sacramento, CA. 20 pp. + app.

Marschalek, D.A. 2009. California least tern breeding survey, 2009 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Branch, Nongame Wildl. Program Rep., 2009-02. Sacramento, CA. 23 pp. + app.

Massey, B.W. 1974. Breeding biology of the California least tern. Proc. Linnaean Soc. N.Y. 72:1-24.

_____. 1989. California least tern fledgling study, Venice, California, 1989. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Nongame Bird & Mammal Section Rep. (1989), Sacramento, CA. 8 pp.

_____. and J.L. Atwood. 1981. Second-wave nesting of the California least tern: age composition and reproductive success. Auk 98:596-605.

Obst, B.S. and S.M. Johnston. 1992. California least tern breeding survey, 1990 season. CA Dep. Fish & Game, Wildl. Manag. Div., Nongame Bird & Mammal Section Rep. 92-05, Sacramento, CA. 13 pp.

Patton, R. 1998a. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 1997. Unpublished report.

_____. 1998b. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 1998. Unpublished report.

_____. 1999. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 1999. Unpublished report.

_____. 2000. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2000. Unpublished report.

_____. 2001. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2001. Unpublished report.

_____. 2002. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2002. Unpublished report.

_____. 2003. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2003. Unpublished report.

_____. 2004. The status of the California least tern at properties of the San Diego Unified Port District and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority in 2004. Unpublished report.

_____. 2005. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2005. Unpublished report.

_____. 2006. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in

2006. Unpublished report.

_____. 2007. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2007. Unpublished report.

_____. 2008. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2008. Unpublished report.

_____. 2009. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2009. Unpublished report.

_____. 2010. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2010. Unpublished report.

_____. 2011. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2011. Unpublished report.

_____. 2012. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2012. Unpublished report.

_____. 2013. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2013. Unpublished report.

_____. 2014. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2014. Unpublished report.

_____. 2014. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2014. Unpublished report.

_____. 2015. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2015. Unpublished report.

_____. 2016. The status of the California least tern at San Diego Unified Port District properties in 2016. Unpublished report.

_____. and B. Foster. 1984. 1984 observation and management of the California least tern site, Naval Air Station, North Island, San Diego, Ca. Unpublished report.

Siegel-Causey, D. and G.L. Hunt, Jr. 1986. Breeding site selection and colony formation in double-crested and pelagic cormorants. Auk 103:230-234.

Thompson, B.C., and R.D. Slack. 1984. Post-fledging departure from colonies by juvenile least terns in Texas: implications for estimating production. Wilson Bull. 96:309-313.

U.S. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife. 1973. Threatened wildlife of the United States.

Resource Publ. 114. 289 pp.

WESTEC Services, Inc. 1981. Biological report on the California least tern (*Sterna albifrons brownii*) at Naval Air Station, North Island. Unpublished report.

TABLES

Table 1. Least tern nest and egg data, D Street Fill, 2017.

	nests*	eggs
Total	127	210
1 egg clutch	44	44
2 eggs	83	166
Known Hatch		
Total	96*	162
1 egg	21	21
2 eggs	75*	141
Uncertain Outcome		
Total	2*	2
1 egg	1	1
2 eggs	1*	1
Failed to Hatch		
Total	38*	46
1 egg	22	22
2 eggs	16*	24
Depredated		
Total	6	6
1 egg	6	6
2 eggs	0	0
Abandoned (pre-term)		
Total	18*	23
1 egg	13	13
2 eggs	5*	10
Abandoned post-term/nonviable		
Total	10*	10
1 egg	0	0
2 eggs	10*	10
Died hatching		
Total	6*	7
1 egg	3	3
2 eggs	3*	4

* inclusion in more than one category: one egg each of eight two-egg clutches was abandoned/failed to hatch after the other hatched; one egg of a two-egg clutch hatched after uncertain outcome of the other egg; one egg each of two two-egg clutches was abandoned/failed to hatch after the other died hatching.

Table 2. Documented causes of least tern mortality, D Street Fill, 2017.

Cause	Least Tern Age Class	Total Losses D Street Fill
Total:		
	egg	46
	chick	37-38*
	fledgling	9
	adult	0
Predation*:		
Common Raven		
	egg	6
Gull-billed Tern		
	chick	1-2
Northern Harrier		
	chick	3
	fledgling	1
Peregrine Falcon or Northern Harrier		
	fledgling	3
Peregrine Falcon		
	fledgling	2
Non-predation Mortality:		
Abandonment (pre-term)		
	egg	23
Unknown		
Abandoned post-term/nonviable		
	egg	10
Died hatching		
	egg	7
No visible trauma		
	chick	33*
	fledgling	3

*daily-observed chick numbers and recapture data indicate additional losses of up to 88-91 chicks, species suspected as responsible for losses include northern harrier, peregrine falcon, and gull-billed tern, with possible losses also to red-tailed hawk, American kestrel, and common raven. One fledgling, and 33 chicks were found with no obvious causes of death. The carcass of one chick was found away from the nest and with head compressed, suspected of having been dropped by a gull-billed tern. A gull-billed tern was observed carrying prey that was suspected to be a tern chick. Three chicks were observed being taken by a northern harrier. The remains of one depredated fledgling suggested harrier as responsible, feather piles of two other fledglings suggested peregrine falcon as responsible, and depredated remains of three others were suspected to be from either harrier or peregrine. At least six eggs from six nests were depredated by common raven, and additional abandoned eggs were scavenged by ravens.

FIGURES



Figure 1. San Diego Unified Port District and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority least tern nesting sites, 2017.



Figure 2. Least tern nest distribution, D Street Fill, 2017.

Figure 3. Least tern breeding chronology, D Street Fill, 2017.



Figure 4. Least tern productivity chronology, D Street Fill, 2017.



APPENDICES

Appendix A. Summary of documented California least tern breeding, D Street Fill and Sweetwater Marsh.

	Estimated Number of Breeding Pairs		Number of	Estimated Number of Fledglings	
Year	Minimum	Maximum	Nests	Minimum	Maximum
1973	4	20	4+	11	11
1974	36	36	36	0	0
1975	10	10	10	0	0
1976	24	24	33	0	0
1977	40	40	40	20	25
1978	47	47	47	15	15
1979	24	28	28	15	20
1980	12	15	15	0	0
1981	0	0	0	0	0
1982	1	1	1	2	2
1983	1	1	1	0	0
1984	16	29	41	15	15
1985	41	47	47	0	0
1986	5	6	10	7	7
1987	28	28	28	10	10
1988	19	19	19	0	0
1989	2	2	2	0	0
1990	0	0	0	0	0
1991	45	47	59	38	42
1992	135	135	135	14	24
1993	23	23	32	1	1
1994	8	8	9	3	3
1995	26	26	27	22	28
1996	25	25	28	15	35
1997	38	38	41	0	0
1998	5	7	7	8	10
1999	30	30	36	2	2
2000	28	31	34	27	30
2001	30	31	32	12	17
2002	23	23	24	8	8
2003	62	85	91	12	19
2004	77	94	111	4	11
2005	77	97	101	9	17
2006	88	94	100	18	29
2007	100	115	130	25	28
2008	133	135	148	17	24
2009	129	129	132	19	29
2010	117	117	119	15	27
2011	100	113	116	25	32
2012	78	93	114	9	9
2013	96	113	144	23	32
2014	125	129	148	28	36
2015	108	111	123	21	34
2016	91	106	118	21	22
2017	93	112	127	25	27

Appendix B. Sample datasheet.

Location:		Date:	Job:	Observer(s):		
Time start:		Time stop:		On site:		
Est/Measured	Time:	Temp:	Wind Spd/Dir:	Cloud cvr (%):	Precip. (Y/N):	Tide: H L In Out
ADULTS	Total:	NESTS	Total:	New:		
CHICKS	Observed:	Est max:	New Chicks:	Fledglings Obs:	Est max:	
Mortality (Y/N):	Adult:	Fledgling:	Chick:	Egg:	Nest:	
Predation (Y/N):	Adult:	Fledgling:	Chick:	Egg:	Nest:	
Take (Y/N):	Adult:	Fledgling:	Chick:	Egg:	Nest:	
Col Live (Y/N):	Adult:	Fledgling:	Chick:	Egg:	Other:	
Col Dead (Y/N):	Adult:	Fledgling:	Chick:	Egg:	Fish:	Other:

Nest No.	Grid No.	New/ Incub.	Status	Nest No.	Grid No.	New/ Incub.	Status	Nest No.	Grid No.	New/ Incub.	Status
1				31				61			
2				32				62			
3				33				63			
4				34				64			
5				35				65			
6				36				66			
7				37				67			
8				38				68			
9				39				69			
10				40				70			
11				41				71			
12				42				72			
13				43				73			
14				44				74			
15				45				75			
16				46				76			
17				47				77			
18				48				78			
19				49				79			
20				50				80			
21				51				81			
22				52				82			
23				53				83			
24				54				84			
25				55				85			
26				56				86			
27				57				87			
28				58				88			
29				59				89			
30				60				90			

Egg/Nest Codes: E=egg, CH=chick, NC=New Chick, H=hatched and no longer present, PH=probable hatch, FH=failed to hatch, A=abandoned
P=Preyed on, DAM=damaged, F=flooded, B=buried, Col=collected, M=moved, Unk=unkown. Circle Nest Number if new or if status has changed.

Predators Observed (Time, Species, Location, Activity):

Ants Y / N   Grid Location(s):

Documented Predation/Mortality:

Human Disturbance/Take:

Comment:

Band Prefix	Band Number	Comb. L - R	Age	Wing	Weight	Cond.	Nest No.	Egg #	Grid	Comment	Recap. (Y/N)
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
		-									
Band Prefix	Band Number	Comb. L - R	Age	Wing	Weight	Cond.	Nest No.	Egg #	Grid	Comment	Recap. (Y/N)

Appendix C. Summary of the status of the California least tern and western snowy plover at properties of the San Diego Unified Port District and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority in 2017.

Potential nesting sites of the endangered California least tern and western snowy plover were prepared prior to mid-April at Lindbergh Field - San Diego International Airport, D Street Fill, and Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve; and monitored from late February through August 2017, by Robert Patton, Elizabeth Copper, Brian Foster, Jennifer Jackson, Lea Squires, Thomas Myers, Monica Alfaro, and Mark Billings. Mayra Garcia and staff of SDIA Environmental Affairs assisted at Lindbergh Field; and Brian Collins of Sweetwater Marsh NWR assisted at D Street Fill.

Least terns were observed from 11 April through 19 August 2017 at and adjacent to properties and facilities of the San Diego Unified Port District. At the three Port District and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority sites, 247 nests were established from 28 April to 8 July. At least 55 to 67 young are estimated to have fledged from the sites, with productivity limited primarily by predation but also by unexplained mortality suspected to be related to locally reduced prey availability. Other limiting factors included nest abandonment, most likely related to disturbances from predators.

San Diego International Airport – Lindbergh Field & Former Naval Training Center

Prior to the terns' arrival, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority personnel applied herbicide, manually removed vegetation, and contractor Ocean Blue repaired plastic mesh chick barriers and covers over stormdrains. Zoological Society of San Diego subcontract personnel established a 30 m grid system in the primary nesting oval (03-S) and assisted in repairs to chick barriers. Monitoring was conducted April through August one to three days per week. Predator management was conducted by personnel from USDA Wildlife Services.

Least terns were first observed foraging over the bay and in flight over the southeast end of Lindbergh Field on 16 April 2017. They were observed each visit after that through 1 August, then again over the bay on four dates from 8 to 19 August. Breeding pair and nest numbers decreased from 2016 to 2017 and remained significantly lower than those of 2014 and earlier, although they were higher than those of 2015. At least 24 nests were initiated by 20 to 21 estimated pairs between 5 May and 8 June. The maximum number of concurrently active nests was 20 on 27 May. At least three nests appeared to be renesting of pairs that had lost their initial clutches. All nests were established in the main nesting oval 03-S except for one in oval 02-S.

At least 27 chicks from 18 nests hatched successfully. It is estimated that 13 to 17 chicks reached fledgling age and survived to fledge from the site. One nest with two eggs was abandoned pre-term, five eggs failed to hatch and were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch hatched successfully, and two single egg clutches failed to hatch and were abandoned after prolonged incubation of 36 to 44 days. Eight eggs from five nests were depredated, four nests suspected by common ravens and one by unknown species. The outcome of one egg was uncertain, but lack of evidence of hatching or chick presence indicates probable depredation.

Feather piles of one to two depredated adults were found and peregrine falcon was suspected to be responsible. One chick was found dead being scavenged by ants, but whether they contributed to cause of death was uncertain. Up to nine to 13 additional chicks are suspected to have been depredated, with most suspected to have been taken by peregrine falcon; but raven, crow, gulls, rats, and ants were also observed in the area during the period of losses. Other potential predators observed in the area included great blue heron, American kestrel, and European

starling.

D Street Fill & Sweetwater Marsh NWR

In preparation for the 2017 nesting season at D Street Fill, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service staff and contractors applied herbicide to invasive plant species; and from late March to the first week in April, San Diego Unified Port District staff completed mechanical grading of the site to reduce vegetation and enhance it for use by least terns and snowy plovers. Biological monitors under contract with the Port manually removed non-native invasive plants from the site, pruned back vegetation to reduce cover and potential raptor perches, surveyed the grid system, and placed decoys and ceramic tiles for chick shelters. Predator management was conducted by personnel of US Department of Agriculture, Wildlife Services, and is to be reported separately. Monitoring was conducted from mid-March through early August one to three days per week.

Least terns were first observed at the D Street Fill on 11 April 2017. They were observed each visit after that through 29 July. At least 127 nests were initiated by 93 to 112 estimated pairs between 28 April and 8 July. The maximum number of concurrently active nests and broods was 90 nests with three broods of chicks on 23 May. At least 15 nests were suspected to have resulted from renesting by pairs that lost earlier clutches.

At least 162 chicks from 96 nests hatched successfully. It is estimated that 29 to 31 chicks reached fledgling age and 25 to 27 survived to fledge from the site. Eighteen nests with 23 eggs were abandoned pre-term, and ten eggs failed to hatch and were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch hatched successfully. Seven eggs from six nests died while hatching. At least six nests with six eggs were depredated by common raven, and additional abandoned eggs were scavenged by ravens. The outcome of two nests with two eggs were uncertain, but lack of evidence of hatching or chick presence indicates probable depredation.

One fledgling, and 33 chicks were found with no obvious causes of death. The carcass of one chick was found away from the nest and with head compressed, suspected of having been dropped by a gull-billed tern. A gull-billed tern was observed carrying prey that was suspected to be a tern chick. Three chicks were observed being taken by a northern harrier. The remains of one depredated fledgling suggested harrier as responsible, feather piles of two other fledglings suggested peregrine falcon as responsible, and depredated remains of three others were suspected to be from either harrier or peregrine. No other definitive evidence of chick depredation was found, but lack of observations, recaptures, fledglings, and attentive adults indicates that others were likely preyed on. The disappearance of up to 88 to 91 chicks coincided with documented depredation and/or daily disturbances to the colony by northern harrier, peregrine falcon, and gull-billed tern, and visits by common raven, red-tailed hawk, and American kestrel. Other potential predator species observed in the area included ant species, great blue heron, Cooper's hawk, gulls, American crow, European starling, western meadowlark, opossum, rats, California ground squirrel, coyote, feral cat, and striped skunk.

There were no western snowy plovers documented at D Street Fill during the peak of nesting season from late April to late August, and no nests were established by snowy plovers this season. However, 99 plovers were observed foraging on adjacent mudflats during ebbing or low tides prior to nesting season. One banded fledgling suspected of originating from South San Diego Bay NWR was observed roosting on the northwest edge of the site during high tide following nesting season.

Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve

Prior to early April 2017 and the terns' arrival, Zoological Society of San Diego subcontract personnel coordinated herbicide application, mechanical scraping and dragging of the site, and weeded invasive non-native vegetation, surveyed the grid system, and placed ceramic tiles for chick shelters, placed decoys, and repaired or replaced signs. Monitoring was conducted from mid-March through mid-August one to three days per week. Predator management was conducted by USDA Wildlife Services staff.

Least terns were first observed at the Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve on 15 April 2017. They were seen on each visit through 25 July, and one to two were observed on 28 July, 1 and 11 August. At least 96 nests were initiated by 78 to 86 estimated pairs between 9 May and 20 June with distribution throughout but concentrated in two clusters in the southwestern portion of the site and in the north-central site. The maximum number of concurrently active nests and broods was 69 nests and nine broods of chicks on 30 May. At least 10 nests were suspected to have resulted from renesting by pairs that lost earlier clutches.

At least 120 chicks from 72 nests hatched successfully. It is estimated that at least 23 chicks reached fledgling age and 17 to 23 young survived to fledge from the site this season. Thirteen nests with 18 eggs were abandoned pre-term, four eggs were abandoned after the other egg in each clutch hatched successfully, and one was abandoned after unknown outcome of the other egg in the clutch. One two-egg nest was depredated with northern harrier suspected responsible, and another two nests were depredated by unknown species. The outcomes of eight nests with 13 eggs were uncertain, but lack of evidence of hatching or chick presence indicates probable depredation. Two fledglings and 25 chicks were found dead of undetermined causes, including one banded fledgling recovered at NAS North Island. Feather piles of one to two depredated adults and four to five fledglings were found and peregrine falcon was suspected to be responsible. Remains of one depredated fledgling suggested predation by peregrine or large owl. An owl pellet containing a band from 2013 was found, but age of the pellet was unclear. One depredated chick was found intact with head crushed and gull-billed tern was suspected to be responsible, and the bands of six depredated chicks were recovered in regurgitated pellets within the South San Diego Bay gull-billed tern colony. No other definitive evidence of chick depredation was found, but lack of observations, recaptures, fledglings, and attentive adults indicates that others were likely preyed on. The disappearance of up to 81 to 82 chicks coincided with repeated hunting of the site by peregrine falcons, gull-billed terns, and visits by northern harrier and great blue heron. Other potential predator species observed in the area included great egret, osprey, red-tailed hawk, Cooper’s hawk, American kestrel, gulls, great horned owl, common raven, American crow, opossum, feral cat, striped skunk, and rats.

Snowy plovers were recorded only twice at CVWR this season with one adult in June and one fledgling in July roosting during high tides.

Appendix C. Summary of California least tern breeding at San Diego Unified Port District and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority sites, 2017.

	SDIA-LF	D St Fill	CVWR
Date terns first observed	4/16	4/11	4/15
Date terns last seen	7/31 (8/19 bay)	7/29	8/11
Date of first nest	5/5	4/28	5/9
Date last nest found	6/8	7/8	6/20
Date last nest established	6/8	7/3	6/20
Date of first hatch	5/30	5/19	5/30
Date of last hatch	6/18	7/1	7/4
Date of first fledgling	6/18	6/9	6/20
Estimated number of pairs	20-21	93-112	78-86
Total number of nests	24	127	96
Total number of eggs	45	210	161
Clutch size:			
1 egg	3	44	31
2 egg	21	83	65
3 egg	0	0	0
4 egg	0	0	0
unknown (min. 1 egg)	0	0	0
Average clutch size	1.88	1.65	1.68
No. of nests hatching young*	18	96	72
Total number of eggs hatched	27	162	120
Estimated number of fledglings	13-17	25-27	17-23
Number of chicks banded	26	103	105
Number of adults banded	0	0	1
Uncertain outcome			
Nests*	1	2	8
Eggs	1	2	13
Documented Mortality			
Preyed upon			
Nests*	5	6	3
Eggs**	8	6	5
Chicks	0	4-5	7
Fledglings	0	6	5-6
Adults	1-2	0	1-2
Human disturbance			
Nests*	0	0	0
Eggs	0	0	0
Chicks	0	0	0
Fledglings	0	0	0
Adults	0	0	0
Other causes			
Nests*			
Abandoned (pre-term)	1	18	14
Failed to hatch (incubated to term)	7	10	4
Died hatching	0	6	0
Damaged (eggshell thinning)	0	0	0
Flooded	0	0	0
Eggs			
Abandoned (pre-term)	2	23	19
Failed to hatch (incubated to term)	7	10	4
Died hatching	0	7	0
Damaged (eggshell thinning)	0	0	0
Flooded	0	0	0
Chicks	1	33	25
Fledglings	0	3	2
Adults	0	0	0

* may be included in more than one category

** not including previously abandoned eggs that were depredated/scavenged

Appendix C-1. Summary of California least tern breeding at San Diego International Airport - Lindbergh Field, 2004-2017.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Date terns first observed	4/20	4/21	4/19	4/23	4/22	4/21	4/20	4/19	4/16	4/22	4/15	4/15	4/13	4/16
Date terns last seen	8/18	8/3 (9/2 bay)	8/30	8/22	8/15	8/11	8/10	8/3	8/7	8/19	7/23	7/14	7/26	7/31 (8/19 bay)
Date of first nest	5/11	5/4	5/15	5/15	5/16	5/10	5/4	5/10	5/8	5/13	5/6	5/9	5/4	5/5
Date last nest found	7/6	7/5	8/1	7/11	7/18	8/11	7/20	7/19	7/3	8/6	6/17	6/6	6/20	6/8
Date last nest established	7/6	7/5	7/18	7/11	7/18	7/2	7/2	6/24	7/3	7/16?	6/17	6/3	6/20	6/8
Date of first hatch	6/1	5/31	6/13	6/10	6/6	6/2	6/2	5/31	5/31	6/7	5/29	6/2	5/26	5/30
Date of last hatch	7/1	7/19	8/1	7/18	7/21	7/7	7/15	7/5	7/6	7/16	6/24	6/26	6/25	6/18
Date of first fledgling	6/28	6/20	7/8	7/2	6/30	6/22	6/22	6/21	6/21	7/2	6/17	6/23	6/14	6/18
Estimated number of breeding pairs	65-70	121-150	114	120-127	122-124	136	110	66-76	96-124	90-95	90-99	9-10	31	20-21
Total number of nests	76	157	131	135	139	145	116	78	130	114	100	18	37	24
Total number of eggs	126	278	207	238	238	268	211	141	197	159-166	180	27	61	45
Clutch Size														
1 egg	27	39	55	33	43	26	23	15	63	64-71	20	9	13	3
2 egg	48	115	76	101	94	116	91	126	67	42-49	80	9	24	21
3 egg	1	3	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4 egg	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average clutch size	1.66	1.77	1.53	1.76	1.71	1.85	1.82	1.81	1.54	1.42-1.46	1.80	1.50	1.65	1.88
No. of nests hatching young*	42	128	81	93	112	103	88	66	84	80	65	8	25	18
Total number of eggs hatched	78	222	124	156	193	183	161	118	136	109	113	15	38	27
Estimated number of fledglings	10-17	45-85	54-65	34-42	115-128	36-38	29-38	11-15	36	34	34-46	8-9	10-17	13-17
Number of chicks banded	62	183	120	116	167	138	144	93	110	85	99	15	32	26
Number of adults banded	0	0	0	0	12	3	12	7	0	1	2	0	0	0
Uncertain outcome														
Nests*	14	2	4	3	3	9	3	1	9	3	10	0	2	1
Eggs	19	4	7	5	3	16	4	2	9	3-7	14	0	2	1
Documented Mortality														
Preyed upon:														
Eggs**	5	3	56	23	13	11	11	0	6	5	8	5	9	8
Chicks	8	15	8	15	2	0	5	23	3	8	9-10	1-4	4-5	0
Fledglings	0	11	13-14	3-4	1	3	3	7	0	1	3-4	0	1	0
Adults	0	1	4-8	1-2	2	2	3	1	1	6	2	1	0	1-2
Other than preyed upon:														
Eggs														
Human Damaged	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to hatch (incubated to term)	1	15	8	13	19	12	10	9	2	4	18	2	5	7
Died hatching	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
Abandoned (pre-term)	23	34	12	40	9	46	23	12	42	38	24	3	7	2
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Chicks	15	21	1	12	19	46	36	10	47	13	18	0	5-6	1
Fledglings	0	10	1	3	5	2	6	0	3	4	1	1	1	0
Adults	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Nests														
Human damaged*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Preyed upon*	5	2	37	17	11	7	8	0	5	5	7	4	7	5
Failed to hatch*	1	15	8	13	16	11	9	8	2	4	15	1	5	7
Abandoned (pre-term)*	16	25	10	26	8	31	18	9	35	27	17	3	5	1
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

* included in more than one category

** not including previously abandoned eggs that were depredated/scavenged

Appendix C-2. Summary of California least tern breeding at D Street Fill, 2004-2017.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Date terns first observed	4/19	4/19	4/18	4/22	4/22	4/21	4/16	4/19	4/12	4/16	4/15	4/17	4/11	4/11
Date terns last seen	8/26	8/1	8/14	8/20	8/13	7/28	7/30	8/15	8/6	8/13	8/12	7/25	7/26	7/29
Date of first nest	5/18	5/10	5/16	5/15	5/10	5/8	5/4	5/6	5/5	5/7	5/6	5/5	4/29	4/28
Date last nest found	7/20	7/8	7/11	8/20	7/18	8/11	6/29	7/2	7/10	7/23	8/15	7/7	7/12	7/8
Date last nest established	7/20	7/8	7/11	7/17	7/16	6/27	6/29	7/2	7/10	7/22	7/8	7/3	7/11	7/3
Date of first hatch	6/8	6/4	6/9	6/8	6/3	5/29	5/25	5/27	5/26	6/1	5/27	6/2	5/27	5/19
Date of last hatch	7/13	6/28	7/25	7/24	7/22	7/14	7/2	7/8	7/3	7/19	7/12	7/9	7/5	7/1
Date of first fledgling	7/6	6/28	7/4	6/30	6/24	6/19	6/15	6/21	6/22	6/25	6/17	6/23	6/17	6/9
Estimated number of breeding pairs	77-94	77-97	88-94	100-115	133-135	129	117	100-113	78-93	96-113	125-129	108-111	91-106	93-112
Total number of nests	111	101	100	130	148	132	119	116	114	144	148	123	118	127
Total number of eggs	163	161	140	214	262	229	227	217	162	215	260	224	196	210
Clutch Size														
1 egg	59	42	60	47	34	35	11	15	66	73	36	22	40	44
2 egg	52	58	40	82	114	97	108	101	48	71	112	101	78	83
3 egg	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average clutch size	1.47	1.59	1.40	1.65	1.77	1.73	1.91	1.87	1.42	1.49	1.76	1.82	1.66	1.65
No. of nests hatching young*	71	79	74	91	124	110	83	97	47	114	126	99	89	96
Total number of eggs hatched	105	122	98	160	223	189	158	174	65	174	224	184	149	162
Estimated number of fledglings	4-17	9-17	18-29	25-28	17-24	19-29	15-27	25-32	9	23-32	28-36	21-34	21-22	25-27
Number of chicks banded	52	79	52	83	129	122	86	112	41	108	145	128	80	103
Number of adults banded	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	2	7	1	3	0	0	0
Uncertain outcome														
Nests*	19	3	5	5	6	11	27	2	16	5	2	4	5	2
Eggs	23	5	7	9	8	16	51	4	20	7	2	7	7	2
Documented Mortality														
Preyed upon:														
Eggs**	5	11	14	14	2	0	5	14	21	1	3	4	7	6
Chicks	7	8	2	9-12	11	8-11	14	4-7	6	4-8	4-7	7	4	4-5
Fledglings	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	2	1	6
Adults	0	1	1	2	0	5	1	3-4	0	0	7-11	4	5	0
Other than preyed upon:														
Eggs														
Human Damaged	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to hatch (incubated to term)	4	7	6	3	9	8	5	6	4	3	8	5	6	10
Died hatching	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	7
Abandoned (pre-term)	26	16	16	28	20	14	8	16	52	27	23	24	27	23
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chicks	10	17	8	7	12	12	28	40	16	54-58	59-62	31	58	33
Fledglings	0	1	0	5	0	0	11	9	0	11	7	11	1	3
Adults	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Nests														
Human damaged*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Preyed upon*	5	7	10	11	2	0	3	9	14	1	3	2	5	6
Failed to hatch*	4	7	6	3	6	8	4	6	4	3	7	5	6	10
Abandoned (pre-term)*	22	12	13	24	16	11	5	11	40	22	16	19	21	18
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* included in more than one category

** not including previously abandoned eggs that were depredated/scavenged

Appendix C-3. Summary of California least tern breeding at Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve, 2004-2017.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Date terns first observed	4/20	4/26	4/21	4/23	4/9	4/24	4/22	4/19	4/12	4/13	4/15	4/20	4/16	4/15
Date terns last seen	9/5	7/29	8/14	8/15	8/29	8/4	8/2	8/24	9/13	8/19	8/12	8/18	8/2	8/11
Date of first nest	5/15	5/17	5/30	5/15	5/16	5/12	5/11	5/13	5/12	5/13	5/10	5/10	5/9	5/9
Date last nest found	7/13	7/1	7/4	7/10	7/8	7/10	6/29	7/19	7/10	7/2	6/20	6/30	7/26	6/20
Date last nest established	7/13	7/1	7/4	7/3	7/8	7/10	6/29	7/16	7/10	7/2	6/20	6/30	7/12	6/20
Date of first hatch	6/5	6/10	6/20	6/8	6/6	6/2	6/1	6/3	6/2	6/4	5/31	5/31	5/31	5/30
Date of last hatch	7/24	7/1	7/22	7/20	7/26	7/24	7/2	7/18	7/31	7/16	6/28	7/6	7/16	7/4
Date of first fledgling	7/13	7/5	8/4	7/17	8/15	6/23	6/29	6/21	6/29	6/25	6/20	6/20	6/21	6/20
Estimated number of breeding pairs	30-48	44-53	12-13	33-39	28	37	32	34-47	29-37	58-66	80-86	65-71	56-63	78-86
Total number of nests	66	57	15	46	33	48	40	53	64	79	87	79	76	96
Total number of eggs	103	101	25	81	60	86	76	100	98	129	166	146	122	161
Clutch Size														
1 egg	30	13	5	11	7	11	4	6	30	29	8	13	30	31
2 egg	35	44	10	35	25	36	36	47	34	50	79	65	46	65
3 egg	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average clutch size	1.56	1.77	1.66	1.76	1.82	1.79	1.9	1.89	1.53	1.63	1.91	1.85	1.60	1.68
No. of nests hatching young*	47	40	9	24	18	22	21	39	36	66	75	70	63	72
Total number of eggs hatched	73	74	17	42	32	40	41	75	55	106	142	127	103	120
Estimated number of fledglings	11-18	2	2	0	2	4-5	2	12-19	18-20	32-39	23-27	33-37	15-18	17-23
Number of chicks banded	44	46	10	23	16	18	16	40	43	94	107	99	78	105
Number of adults banded	0	0	0	0	7	5	7	1	2	4	1	0	0	1
Uncertain outcome														
Nests*	11	3	2	0	11	16	5	10	9	0	1	0	1	8
Eggs	13	5	3	0	17	28	10	15	11	0	2	0	1	13
Documented Mortality														
Preyed upon:														
Eggs**	0	9	3	36	6	8	21	4	9	2	6	6	2	5
Chicks	2	1	0	2	2	2	8-9	5-7	5	1-2	2	15	5-6	7
Fledglings	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	9	3	0	5-6
Adults	1-2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	2-3	3	6	8-13	2	1-2
Other than preyed upon:														
Eggs														
Human Damaged	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to hatch (incubated to term)	3	5	0	1	4	3	0	1	1	9	3	3	2	4
Died hatching	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abandoned (pre-term)	13	8	2	2	0	5	2	5	22	12	13	10	14	19
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chicks	1	5	0	0	0	2	1	2	3	28-29	35	16	26-27	25
Fledglings	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	4	1	2
Adults	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nests														
Human damaged*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Preyed upon*	0	6	3	21	4	7	11	2	6	1	4	4	2	3
Failed to hatch*	2	5	0	1	4	5	0	1	1	8	3	3	2	4
Abandoned (pre-term)*	9	7	2	2	0	4	2	4	17	10	9	7	11	14
Flooded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* included in more than one category

** not including previously abandoned eggs that were depredated/scavenged

Nesting Sites: San Diego International Airport 2017



Least Tern Nests: San Diego International Airport - Chronological



Nesting Sites: D Street 2017



Least Tern Nests: D Street - Chronological



Nesting Sites: Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve 2017



Least Tern Nests: Chula Vista Wildlife Reserve - Chronological



Appendix D. Distribution of nests of California least tern western snowy plover at D Street Fill, 1997-2017.

	Number of Nests			
Year	CLT	WSP	W-E Row	N-S Column
1997	41	10-12	3-12	C-I
1998	7	2	4-11	D-F
1999	36	2	4-11	D-H
2000	34	1	4-10	D-H
2001	32	0	4-12	D-H
2002	24	0	4-11	E-H
2003	91	0	2-12	C-I
2004	111	0	3-14	B-I
2005	101	0	3-13	B-I
2006	100	0	3-19	B-I
2007	130	0	3-18	B-I
2008	148	0	3-20	B-I
2009	132	0	4-16	B-J
2010	119	0	4-16	B-J
2011	116	0	3-15	B-J
2012	114	0	4-15	C-I
2013	144	0	4-15	C-J
2014	148	0	4-15	C-J
2015	123	0	3-15	C-J
2016	118	0	2-17	B-K
2017	127	0	2-17	B-L