CEQA and COASTAL DETERMINATIONS
and
NOTICE OF APPROVAL

Project: Hilton San Diego Airport Parking Lot Repair and Resurfacing Project
Location: 1960 Harbor Island Drive, San Diego CA
Parcel No.: 005-016
Project No.: 2021-136
Applicant: Bartell Hotels, Richard Bartell, President, 619-224-1556
Date Approved: Nov 30, 2021

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project would involve repair and resurface the Hilton San Diego Airport hotel parking lot in the City of San Diego, California. Repair of the existing 19,000 square foot parking lot would involve removal of between one inch to one and half inch of asphalt in the majority of the lot; however, there are two sections with a combined square footage of 600 square feet where the depth of removal would be to two inches. The lot would be resurfaced with no changes to the parking lot striping.

Due to its nature and limited scope, construction of the proposed project would generate a minor amount of vehicle trips and would require limited use of equipment. Therefore, impacts related to air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, and transportation and traffic are not anticipated to occur. Furthermore, the Applicant would be responsible for complying with all applicable federal, state, and local laws regarding construction demolition debris, hazards and hazardous materials, and stormwater.

The following categorical determinations are based on the project submittal and all project information known to the District as of the date of this determination.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)

CATEGORICAL DETERMINATION

Categorical Exemption(s): SG §15301, Class 1/Section 3.a: Existing Facilities and SG §15302, Class 2/Section 3.b: Replacement or Reconstruction

3.a. Existing Facilities (SG § 15301) (Class 1): Includes operation, repair, maintenance, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that previously existing, including but not limited to:

(8) Existing highways, streets, sidewalks, bicycle and pedestrian trails, and similar facilities, except where the activity will involve removal of a scenic resource including a stand of trees, a rock outcropping, or an historic building.

AND/OR

3.b. Replacement or Reconstruction (SG § 15302) (Class 2): Includes replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located on the same site and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the structure being replaced.

The proposed project is determined to be Categorically Exempt pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines and the Section(s) of the District’s Guidelines for Compliance with CEQA as identified above because the project would involve: no expansion of use beyond that previously existing and would not result in a significant cumulative impact due to the continuation of the existing use; and, would consist of the replacement/reconstruction of an existing structure/facility, would be located on the same site as the structure replaced, and would have substantially the same purpose and capacity. The District has determined none of the six exceptions to the use of a categorical exemption apply to this project (CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2).

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15062, a 35-day statute of limitations for this CEQA exemption shall apply from the date a Notice of Exemption is posted with the San Diego County Clerk, or a 180-
day statute of limitations for this CEQA exemption shall apply if no Notice of Exemption is filed.

CALIFORNIA COASTAL ACT

PORT MASTER PLAN CONSISTENCY

Planning District(s): 2 - Harbor Island/Lindbergh Field (Precise Plan Figure 9)

Land Use Designation(s): Commercial Recreation

The proposed project conforms to the certified Port Master Plan because it would involve repair and resurface of an existing 19,000 square foot hotel parking lot consistent with the existing certified Land Use designation(s). The proposed project would not change the use of the site as a hotel nor would it interrupt or expand the existing conforming uses of the site.

CATEGORICAL DETERMINATION

Categorical Exclusion(s): Section 8.a: Existing Facilities and Section 8.b: Replacement or Reconstruction

8.a. Existing Facilities: The operation, repair, maintenance, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that previously existing, including but not limited to:

   (3) Streets, sidewalks, gutters, bicycle and pedestrian paths, and similar facilities

AND/OR

8.b. Replacement or Reconstruction: Replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located essentially on the same site as the structure replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the structure replaced.

The proposed project is determined to be Categorically Excluded pursuant to the Section(s) of the District’s Coastal Development Permit Regulations as identified above because it would: involve negligible or no expansion of use beyond that previously existing; and, be located essentially on the same site as the facility replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the facility replaced.

Pursuant to California Coastal Act Section 30717, there is a 10-working-day period to appeal this “Coastal Act Categorical Determination of Exclusion” to the California Coastal Commission.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC TRUST DOCTRINE

The proposed project complies with Section 87.(a)(3) of the Port Act, which allows for the establishment, improvement, and conduct of airport and heliport or aviation facilities, including, but not limited to, approach, takeoff, and clear zones in connection with airport runways, and for the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance, and operation of terminal buildings, runways, roadways, aprons, taxiways, parking areas, and all other works, buildings, facilities, utilities, structures, and appliances incidental, necessary, or convenient for the promotion and accommodation of air commerce and air navigation. The Port Act was enacted by the California Legislature and is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine. Consequently, the proposed project is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine.
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