SUBJECT: PORT ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE POLICY

PURPOSE: To review and provide input and recommendations on Port environmental programs and initiatives, and comment on funding projects aimed at improving the condition of the Bay and surrounding Port tidelands.

POLICY STATEMENT:

The Board of Port Commissioners (Board) is committed to protecting and improving the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and Port tidelands (Bay). To that end, the Planning & Green Port program’s (PGP) and Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) were created and invested with the responsibility of ensuring the Port’s compliance with environmental laws and regulations, as well as advising the Board on actions that can be taken to improve the condition of the Bay.

San Diego Bay is often referred to as the “Crown Jewel” of San Diego. Beneath its beautiful surface, the Bay serves a number of important ecological roles, serving as a spawning ground for many local fish species, acting as a key stopping point for a variety of bird species traveling along the Pacific Fly Way, and providing the discharge point for numerous creeks and rivers within the San Diego Bay watershed.

The Bay also has played an essential role in supporting the growth of the region’s population and economy. In the past, fulfilling this role often has resulted in negative impacts on the Bay. For years, raw sewage and industrial wastes were discharged, untreated, into the waters of the Bay. Modern environmental laws, coupled with the corporate commitments of Port industry, have significantly reduced industrial sources of Bay pollution. Unfortunately, discharges continue in the form of urban runoff throughout San Diego Bay’s extensive watershed.

The development of the Bay with hotels, roads and businesses has significantly altered the natural condition of the Bay. During the last 100 years, natural shoreline has been removed and replaced with artificial hard structures, a type of substrate not native to the Bay and of limited value to native wildlife. As a result, there has been a 70% loss of salt marsh, 84% loss of intertidal areas other than salt marsh, and a 42% loss of shallow subtidal zone habitats.

Improving the condition of the Bay cannot be accomplished solely through regulatory compliance. The restoration of the Bay to a more pristine condition requires efforts that
are beyond mere regulatory compliance. This will require the concerted, coordinated efforts of all the stakeholders of the Bay - academia, environmental groups, government, Port tenants, and regulatory and resource agencies. The Board has created the EAC to function as a forum to provide the Board with input and recommendations for accomplishing the Port's strategic goal to "protect and improve the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and the Tidelands."

This EAC Policy details the organization, structure and functioning of the Committee and management of the Environmental Fund.

ANALYSIS:

1. The Bay is the "Crown Jewel" of the San Diego region and a focal point in media descriptions of the San Diego region.

2. The Bay is an ecosystem which plays an important role in the broader, regional ecosystem, as illustrated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's designation of south San Diego Bay as a National Wildlife refuge. The Bay is home to 89 species of fish, seven endangered species and thousands of birds visiting San Diego during their annual migration along the Pacific Fly Way.

3. The Bay is an important economic resource for the region, supporting the U.S. Navy's Pacific Fleet, two marine terminals, three shipyards, other maritime industries, and 8,000 recreational boat slips, as well as a wide range of hotels, restaurants and convention facilities to support the tourism industry.

4. The Bay is the discharge point of urban runoff from throughout the San Diego Bay watershed, an area of 415 square miles and where 50% of the county's population lives or works.

5. The ecological and economic roles or "uses" of the Bay have not always been compatible. As a result, the Bay's sediments, home to organisms at the base of the food chain, contain "legacy" pollutants and historic habitat along the shoreline has been replaced by artificial concrete structures, including seawalls, which are inefficient as habitat.

6. The Port of San Diego has accepted the role of "environmental champion" of the Bay, responsible for the protection and enhancement of 2,508 acres of tideland and 2,860 acres of water in the Bay.
7. The PGP and EAC were created to ensure that the Port is in compliance with environmental laws and regulations. PGP programs include but are not limited to: Green Port; Energy; Environmental Conservation; Environmental Protection, Planning, Aquaculture, Blue Technology; and Natural Resources. The PGP staffs the Committee and manages the Environmental Fund, awarding grants to environmental programs and projects.

8. The Port’s environmental efforts are a regional investment, benefiting the entire county by supporting assets such as hotels and shipyards, which provide jobs and support businesses throughout the region. The Port also incurs significant costs associated with the impacts of pollution from the region entering the Bay via urban runoff.

9. The Bay is one of this region’s most precious resources, and is an important fish nursery and a key stop over on the Pacific Flyway, for thousands of migratory birds. Protecting the bay and its resources is important throughout different stages of wildlife development. By protecting and enhancing these habitats, we are ensuring the long term sustainability of the bay’s resources and doing so in a manner that creates resiliency to future impacts such as upstream pollution or sea level changes.


ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

The EAC operates according to the guidelines for all Board advisory committees, as established in BPC Policy No. 018 (2008-273, 2 December 2008) and articulated in the Charter prepared specifically for the EAC. The purpose of this, and all other Port advisory committees, is to advise the Board. The EAC is advisory in nature and has no authority to negotiate for, represent, or commit the Port in any respect.

The membership of all committees is the prerogative of the Chair of the Board. In January of each calendar year, the incoming Chair establishes the slate of committees for that year and appoints the members of each. The EAC shall be composed of two (2) or three (3)
members of the Board and representatives of stakeholder groups including, but not limited to: Port tenants; environmental advocacy groups; the U.S. Navy; regulatory agencies; resource agencies; member cities; academia; local business; and labor. EAC membership shall not exceed eighteen members (stakeholders and two or three commissioners). This diverse membership will allow the EAC to achieve the Board’s goal of receiving input from a broad and balanced cross section of the community. EAC meetings are intended to encourage input from stakeholders and interaction with Port staff and Board members.

TERMS:

EAC membership is the prerogative of the Chair of the Board. It is the intent of the Board to control the terms of an individual’s or organization’s participation in order to: (1) provide an opportunity for as many qualified and willing individuals as possible to serve their community; (2) promote equal opportunity for membership; (3) most precisely match membership’s expertise to the program’s needs.

Should an EAC vacancy occur prior to the end of a member’s term, the Chair may choose to appoint a replacement for the unexpired portion of that term and notify the Board of such action. There will be no alternate EAC members. EAC members shall receive no compensation for their services.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

To the extent required by law, the EAC will operate in compliance with the Political Reform Act and Government Code section 1090 regarding conflicts of interest. EAC members with financial interests in projects coming before the EAC will be required to disclose the interest and abstain from any participation as to the matter. Members and their organizations seeking funding from the EAC will not be able to participate in the matter and may be subject to disqualifying requirements of Government Code section 1090.

Although Port District staff cannot provide legal advice to EAC members regarding potential conflicts of interest, staff will be available to provide members with information to assist members in making appropriate determinations.

FUNDING MECHANISMS:

Environmental regulatory programs are focused largely on preventing contamination of the air, water and land or on maintaining habitat for birds, fish and wildlife. Efforts to restore areas to historic conditions are more problematic, whether it is by the
remediation of areas with legacy contamination or the recovery of lost wetlands. Although regulatory programs exist to effect these changes, in almost all cases their implementation is exceedingly slow and drawn out, often by technical and legal challenges and, thus, the success of such programs often is less than optimal.

The Board has developed a program to assist in funding environmental projects.

1. ENVIRONMENTAL FUND

**Purpose:** The purpose of the Environmental Fund (Fund) is to fund projects that address air, water and sediment quality, sustainability and climate action planning, natural resources and endangered species management, habitat creation, restoration or protection, reclaiming natural shoreline conditions, environmental education, research and monitoring, and/or other issues in the Bay and/or the tidelands.

**Project Selection:** Projects will be identified and reviewed by staff of PGP. In evaluating a project, staff will determine if it meets the objectives identified by the EAC.

In selecting projects, staff will address questions such as, but not limited to:

- Will the project create new habitat for fish or birds?
- Will the project restore historic habitat that has been lost through development or other means?
- Will the project remediate, or hasten the move towards remediation, of a contaminated area of the Bay?
- Will the project enhance the public’s enjoyment of the Bay without impacting the environment?
- Will the project improve air quality in the region?
- Will the project reduce energy, waste and/or water use?
- Will the project improve environmental decision-making?
- Will the project prevent contamination of the Bay?
- Will the project resolve a regulatory impasse which has prevented, or significantly slowed, the restoration of the Bay?
- Is the project located within the Bay, or is it of direct benefit to the Bay and the surrounding region?
Project Approval: In order for a project to receive funding, whether partial, in-kind, or complete, the project must be approved by the Board. Neither staff nor the EAC has the authority to act on behalf of the Board. The Board is the ultimate and final decision maker on all matters related to the expenditure of funds.

Funding: Each year, the Board shall set aside ½ of 1% of the Port District's projected gross revenues for that year. For the purpose of this calculation, gross revenue shall not include anticipated grants from any source or any other restricted revenue source. Such money set aside shall be expended for specific environmental projects or allocated to a fund set aside within the Port District Revenue Fund for environmental projects within the Port District.

The Port Act allows the maintenance of a single, general fund (Port Act, Section 10) and does not allow the creation and maintenance of multiple funds. The Board can choose to set aside money for an Environmental Fund within the Port District Revenue Fund. Money so set aside may be accumulated for more than one year, but its use, from year-to-year, will be subject to the discretion of the Board.

Staff will recommend projects to the EAC, which will provide comments. Staff will then make a recommendation to the Board to approve funding for projects.

1. Seek funding from sources other than the Fund, and/or
2. Seek matching funds from other sources.

RESOLUTION 2018-063

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS POLICY NO. 730, THE PORT ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE POLICY, TO REFLECT CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS, TO INCLUDE OTHER NON-SUBSTANTIVE ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATES, AND TO RESCIND THE TENANT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE LOAN PROGRAM FOR WHICH NO APPLICATIONS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SINCE BOARD ADOPTION OF THE PROGRAM IN 2001

WHEREAS, the San Diego Unified Port District (District) is a public corporation created by the legislature in 1962 pursuant to Harbors and Navigation Code Appendix 1, (Port Act); and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2006, pursuant to resolution #2006-111, the Board of Port Commissioners (BPC) adopted Policy No. 730, the current Port Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) Policy; and

WHEREAS, BPC Policy No. 730 outlines the organization, structure and functions of the EAC; management of the Environmental Fund; and the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program; and

WHEREAS, BPC Policy No. 730 also details the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program (Loan Program), which was adopted by the BPC on April 4, 2001, by resolution #2001-66, to provide low interest loans to tenants to support environmental remediation or environmental enhancement projects; and

WHEREAS, District staff propose updates to BPC Policy No. 730 to make it consistent with current District Planning & Green Port programs emphasizing the importance of the San Diego Bay for natural resources and the adoption of the Climate Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, District staff also recommends rescinding the tenant loan program, since no applications have been received since its inception in 2001; and

WHEREAS, on April 5, 2018 the EAC reviewed the proposed updates to BPC Policy No. 730 and concurred that these changes are appropriate; and
WHEREAS, a redline strikeout version of District staff's proposed revisions to BPC Policy No. 730 are included as Attachment A to the corresponding agenda sheet.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Port Commissioners of the San Diego Unified Port District hereby approves the changes to BPC Policy No. 730 as set forth in Attachment A to the corresponding agenda sheet.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:
GENERAL COUNSEL

By: Assistant/Deputy

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Port Commissioners of the San Diego Unified Port District, this 10th day of April, 2018, by the following vote:

AYES: Bonelli, Castellanos, Malcolm, Merrifield, Moore, Valderrama, and Zucchet
NAYS: None.
EXCUSED: None.
ABSENT: None.
ABSTAIN: None.

Rafael Castellanos, Chairman
Board of Port Commissioners

ATTEST:

Donna Morales
District Clerk

(Seal)
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS POLICY NO. 730, THE PORT ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE POLICY, TO REFLECT CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS, TO INCLUDE OTHER NON-SUBSTANTIVE ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATES, AND TO RESCIND THE TENANT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE LOAN PROGRAM FOR WHICH NO APPLICATIONS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SINCE BOARD ADOPTION OF THE PROGRAM IN 2001

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Board of Port Commissioners (Board) is committed to protecting and improving the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and Port tidelands (Bay). To that end, Planning & Green Port programs (PGP) were created to ensure the San Diego Unified Port District (District) is in compliance with environmental regulations, and the Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) was created to advise the Board with respect to the protection and improvement of the condition of the Bay.

On June 6, 2006, per resolution #2006-111, the Board adopted Policy No. 730, the Port Environmental Advisory Committee Policy. The policy outlines the organization, structure and functions of the EAC; management of the Environmental Fund; and the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program. The policy details the membership of the EAC; the purpose of the Environmental Fund - to fund projects that address air, water and sediment quality, sustainability and climate action planning, natural resources and endangered species management, habitat creation, restoration or protection, reclaiming natural shoreline conditions, environmental education, research and monitoring, and/or other issues in the Bay and/or the tidelands. The policy also details the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program (Loan Program), which was adopted by the Board on April 4, 2001, by resolution #2001-66, to provided low interest loans to tenants to support environmental remediation or environmental enhancement projects. No loan applications have been received for the Loan Program since its inception in 2001.

Changes to Policy #730 include updating the language to reflect current District Planning & Green Port programs including the importance of the Bay for natural resources and the adoption of the Climate Action Plan. Changes also include rescinding the tenant loan program, since no applications have been received since its inception in 2001.

On April 5, 2018 the EAC reviewed the proposed revisions to BPC Policy No. 730 and recommended approval of these changes to the Board. A redline strikeout version of the proposed revisions to BPC
Policy No. 730 are included as Attachment A.

Staff recommends that the Board adopt a resolution to amend BPC Policy No. 730 as set forth in Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a resolution authorizing changes to BPC Policy No. 730, the Port Environmental Advisory Committee Policy, to reflect current environmental programs, to include other non-substantive administrative updates, and to rescind the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program for which no applications have been received since Board adoption of the Loan Program in 2001.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The proposed Board action does not present any fiscal impact to the District.

COMPASS STRATEGIC GOALS:

This agenda item supports the following Strategic Goal(s).

- A Port that the public understands and trusts.
- A Port with a healthy and sustainable bay and its environment.
- A Port with a comprehensive vision for Port land and water uses integrated to regional plans.
- A Port that is a safe place to visit, work and play.

DISCUSSION:

Background
On June 6, 2006, per resolution 2006-111, the Board adopted Policy 730, the Port Environmental Advisory Committee policy, which created the EAC and the Environmental Fund. Since the Board is committed to protecting and improving the environmental conditions of Bay they created the EAC and PGP programs. The PGP programs were created and invested with the responsibility of ensuring the District’s compliance with environmental laws and regulations, and the EAC was created to advise the Board on actions that can be taken to improve the condition of the Bay.

San Diego Bay is often referred to as the “Crown Jewel” of San Diego. Beneath its beautiful surface, the Bay performs a number of important ecological roles, serving as a spawning ground for many local fish species, acting as a key stopping point for a variety of bird species traveling along the Pacific Fly Way, and providing the discharge point for numerous creeks and rivers within the San Diego Bay watershed.

The restoration of the Bay to a more pristine condition may require efforts that extend beyond mere regulatory compliance. The Board created the EAC to advise and to provide the Board with input and recommendations for accomplishing the District’s strategic goal to “protect and improve the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and the Tidelands.”
Proposed changes to BPC Policy No. 730 include updating the policy to reflect current Planning & Green Port programs, Energy; Environmental Conservation; Environmental Protection; Planning, Aquaculture and Blue Technology. Additional language includes the importance of San Diego Bay to natural resources and the Port adaption of a Climate Action Plan and its goals.

**Environmental Fund**
Changes to the Environmental Fund purpose statement reflect current Planning & Green Port programs and include the addition of habitat restoration, climate action planning, endangered species management, environmental education and research and monitoring.

**Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program**
The goal of the Loan Program was to achieve environmental compliance and produce an overall environmental benefit to the Bay. The Loan Program was created by the Board in 2001 by resolution #2001-66, dated April 3, 2001, and amended by resolution #2001-273, dated December 11, 2001. The Loan Program would have provided low interest loans to Port tenants to support environmental remediation or tenant environmental enhancement projects. A tenant, who qualified for a loan, could apply for a maximum amount of $100,000, at a low fixed interest rate, payable over 5 years.

No tenant loan applications have been received since the loan program was established in 2001. Staff conducted outreach with the Port Tenants Association which did not voice any objection to the rescission of the program since no tenant has applied for the Loan Program.

**Conclusion**
Staff recommends the Board approve changes to BPC Policy No. 730 as set forth in Attachment A. The proposed changes include updating the policy based on current Planning & Green Port programs, along with other non-substantive administrative updates, and to rescind the language providing the tenant loan program.

**General Counsel’s Comments:**
The Office of the General Counsel reviewed this agenda and the proposed changes to BPC Policy No. 730 as to form and legality.

**Environmental Review:**

The Board direction or action, including without limitation, a resolution authorizing changes to BPC Policy No. 730, Port Environmental Advisory Committee Policy does not constitute an “approval” or a “project” under the definitions set forth in California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15352 and 15378 because no direct or indirect changes to the physical environment would occur. CEQA requires that the District adequately assess the environmental impacts of projects and reasonably foreseeable activities that may result from projects prior to the approval of the same. Any project developed as a result of Board’s action or direction that requires the District or the Board’s discretionary approval resulting in a physical change to the environment will be analyzed in accordance with CEQA prior to such approval. CEQA review may result in the District, in its sole and absolute discretion, requiring implementation of mitigation measures, adopting an alternative,
including without limitation, a “no project alternative” or adopting a Statement of Overriding Consideration, if required. The current Board direction in no way limits the exercise of this discretion. Therefore, no further CEQA review is required.

In addition, this Board item complies with Section 87 of the Port Act, which allows for the establishment and maintenance of those lands for open space, ecological preservation and habitat restoration. The Port Act was enacted by the California Legislature and is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine. Consequently, the proposed Board direction or action is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine.

The proposed Board direction or action does not allow for “development,” as defined in Section 30106 of the California Coastal Act, or “new development,” pursuant to Section 1.a. of the District’s Coastal Development Permit (CDP) Regulations because they will not result in, without limitation, a physical change, change in use or increase the intensity of uses. Therefore, issuance of a Coastal Development Permit or exclusion is not required. However, development within the District requires processing under the District’s CDP Regulations. Future development, as defined in Section 30106 of the Coastal Act, will remain subject to its own independent review pursuant to the District’s certified CDP Regulations, PMP, and Chapters 3 and 8 of the Coastal Act. The Board’s direction or action in no way limits the exercise of the District’s discretion under the District’s CDP Regulations. Therefore, issuance of a CDP or exclusion is not required at this time.

Equal Opportunity Program:

Not applicable.

PREPARED BY:

Eileen Maher
Principal, Environmental Conservation
Planning & Green Port

Heather Kramp
Assistant Planner
Planning & Green Port

Attachment(s):
Attachment A: BPC Policy No. 730 Port Environmental Advisory Committee Policy (redlined copy)
SUBJECT: PORT ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE POLICY

PURPOSE: To review and provide input and recommendations on Port environmental programs and initiatives, and comment on funding projects aimed at improving the condition of the Bay and surrounding Port tidelands, and provide a Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program.

POLICY STATEMENT:

The Board of Port Commissioners (Board) is committed to protecting and improving the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and Port tidelands (Bay). To that end, the Planning & Green Port program’s Environmental Services Department (ESD/PGP) and Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) were created and invested with the responsibility of ensuring the Port’s compliance with environmental laws and regulations, as well as advising the Board on actions that can be taken to improve the condition of the Bay.

San Diego Bay is often referred to as the “Crown Jewel” of San Diego. Beneath its beautiful surface, the Bay serves a number of important ecological roles, serving as a spawning ground for many local fish species, acting as a key stopping point for a variety of bird species traveling along the Pacific Fly Way, and providing the discharge point for numerous creeks and rivers within the San Diego Bay watershed.

The Bay also has played an essential role in supporting the growth of the region’s population and economy. In the past, fulfilling this role often has resulted in negative impacts on the Bay. For years, raw sewage and industrial wastes were discharged, untreated, into the waters of the Bay. Modern environmental laws, coupled with the corporate commitments of Port industry, have significantly reduced some industrial sources of Bay pollution. Unfortunately, discharges continue in the form of urban runoff from businesses and homes throughout San Diego Bay’s extensive watershed.

The development of the Bay with hotels, roads and businesses has significantly altered the natural condition of the Bay. During the last 100 years, natural shoreline has been removed and replaced with artificial hard structures, a type of substrate not native to the Bay and of limited value to native wildlife. As a result, there has been a 70% loss of salt marsh, 84% loss of intertidal areas other than salt marsh, and a 42% loss of shallow subtidal zone habitats.

Improving the condition of the Bay cannot be accomplished solely through regulatory
compliance. The restoration of the Bay to a more pristine condition requires efforts that are beyond mere regulatory compliance. This will require the concerted, coordinated efforts of all the stakeholders of the Bay - academia, environmental groups, government, Port tenants, and regulatory and resource agencies. The Board has created the Environmental Advisory Committee (EACCommittee) to function as a forum to provide the Board with input and recommendations for accomplishing the Port's strategic goal to "protect and improve the environmental conditions of San Diego Bay and the Tidelands."

This EACCommittee Policy details the organization, structure and functioning of the Committee and management of the Environmental Fund.

ANALYSIS:

1. The Bay is the “Crown Jewel” of the San Diego region and a focal point in media descriptions of the San Diego region.

2. The Bay is an ecosystem which plays an important role in the broader, regional ecosystem, as illustrated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s designation of south San Diego Bay as a National Wildlife refuge. The Bay is home to 89 species of fish, seven endangered species and thousands of birds visiting San Diego during their annual migration along the Pacific Fly Way.

3. The Bay is an important economic resource for the region, supporting the U.S. Navy's Pacific Fleet, two marine terminals, three shipyards, other maritime industries, and 8,000 recreational boat slips, as well as a wide range of hotels, restaurants and convention facilities to support the tourism industry.

4. The Bay is the discharge point of urban runoff from throughout the San Diego Bay watershed, an area of 415 square miles and where 50% of the county's population lives or works.

5. The ecological and economic roles or "uses" of the Bay have not always been compatible. As a result, the Bay's sediments, home to organisms at the base of the food chain, contain "legacy" pollutants and historic habitat along the shoreline has been replaced by artificial concrete structures, including seawalls, which are inefficient as habitat.
6. The Port of San Diego has accepted the role of “environmental champion-steward” of the Bay, responsible for the protection and enhancement of 2,508 acres of tideland and 2,860 acres of water in the Bay.

7. The PGP ESD and EAC were created to ensure that the Port is in compliance with environmental laws and regulations. PGPESD programs include but are not limited to: Green Port; Energy; Environmental Conservation; Urban Runoff; Environmental Protection; Planning; Aquaculture; Blue Technology; Redevelopment; and Natural Resources. The PGPESD staffs the Committee and manages the Environmental Fund, awarding grants to environmental programs and projects.

8. The Port’s environmental efforts are a regional investment, benefiting the entire county by supporting assets such as hotels and shipyards, which provide jobs and support businesses throughout the region. The Port also incurs significant costs associated with the impacts of pollution from the region entering the Bay via urban runoff.

9. The Bay is one of this region’s most precious resources, and is an important fish nursery and a key stop over on the Pacific Flyway, for thousands of migratory birds. Protecting the bay and its resources is important throughout different stages of wildlife development. By protecting and enhancing these habitats, we are ensuring the long term sustainability of the bay’s resources and doing so in a manner that creates resiliency to future impacts such as upstream pollution or sea level changes.


ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

The EAC Committee operates according to the guidelines for all Board advisory
committees, as established in BPC Policy No. 018 (2008-273, 2 December 2008) and articulated in the Charter prepared specifically for the EACCommittee. The purpose of this, and all other Port advisory committees, is to advise the Board. The EACCommittee is advisory in nature and has no authority to negotiate for, represent, or commit the Port in any respect.

The membership of all committees is the prerogative of the Chair of the Board. In January of each calendar year, the incoming Chair establishes the slate of committees for that year and appoints the members of each. The EACCommittee shall be composed of two (2) or three (3) members of the Board and representatives of stakeholder groups including, but not limited to: Port tenants; environmental advocacy groups; the U.S. Navy; regulatory agencies; resource agencies; member cities; academia; local business; and labor. EACCommittee membership shall not exceed eighteen members (stakeholders and two or three commissioners). This diverse membership will allow the EACCommittee to achieve the Board’s goal of receiving input from a broad and balanced cross section of the community. EACCommittee meetings are intended to encourage input from stakeholders and interaction with Port staff and Board members.
TERMS:

EACCommittee membership is the prerogative of the Chair of the Board. It is the intent of the Board to control the terms of an individual's or organization's participation in order to: (1) provide an opportunity for as many qualified and willing individuals as possible to serve their community; (2) promote equal opportunity for membership; (3) most precisely match membership's expertise to the program's needs.

Should an EACCommittee vacancy occur prior to the end of a member's term, the Chair may choose to appoint a replacement for the unexpired portion of that term and notify the Board of such action. There will be no alternate EACCommittee members. EACCommittee members shall receive no compensation for their services.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

To the extent required by law, the EACCommittee will operate in compliance with the Political Reform Act and Government Code section 1090 regarding conflicts of interest. EACCommittee members with financial interests in projects coming before the EAC Committee will be required to disclose the interest and abstain from any participation as to the matter. Members and their organizations seeking funding from the EACCommittee will not be able to participate in the matter and may be subject to disqualifying requirements of Government Code section 1090.

Although Port District staff cannot provide legal advice to EAC Committee members regarding potential conflicts of interest, staff will be available to provide members with information to assist members in making appropriate determinations.

FUNDING MECHANISMS:

Environmental regulatory programs are focused largely on preventing contamination of the air, water and land or on maintaining habitat for birds, fish and wildlife. Efforts to restore areas to historic conditions are more problematic, whether it be by the remediation of areas with legacy contamination or the recovery of lost wetlands. Although regulatory programs exist to effect these changes, in almost all cases their implementation is exceedingly slow and drawn out, often by technical and legal challenges and, thus, the success of such programs often is less than optimal.
The Board has developed a program to assist in funding environmental projects.

1. ENVIRONMENTAL FUND

**Purpose:** The purpose of the Environmental Fund (Fund) is to fund projects that address air, water and sediment quality, sustainability and climate action planning, natural resources and endangered species management, habitat creation, restoration or protection, reclaiming natural shoreline conditions, environmental education, research and monitoring, and/or other issues in the Bay and/or the tidelands.

**Project Selection:** Projects will be identified and reviewed by staff of PGP. The ESD Projects to be considered will be ranked on a Project List (List), which will be revised and/or updated as new potential projects are identified or other projects are removed. In evaluating a project for inclusion on the List, staff will determine if it meets the objectives identified by the EACCommittee.

In selecting projects, staff will address questions such as, but not limited to:

- Will the project create new habitat for fish or birds?
- Will the project restore historic habitat that has been lost through development or other means?
- Will the project remediate, or hasten the move towards remediation, of a contaminated area of the Bay?
- Will the project enhance the public’s enjoyment of the Bay without impacting the environment?
- Will the project improve air quality in the region?
- Will the project reduce energy, waste and/or water use?
- Will the project improve environmental decision-making?
- Will the project prevent contamination of the Bay?
- Will the project resolve a regulatory impasse which has prevented, or significantly slowed, the restoration of the Bay?
- Is the project located within the Bay, or is it of direct benefit to the Bay and the surrounding region?

**Project Approval:** In order for a project to receive funding, whether partial, in-kind, or complete, the project must be approved by the Board. Neither staff nor the EACCommittee has the authority to act on behalf of the Board. The Board is the ultimate and final decision maker on all matters related to the
expenditure of funds.

Funding: Each year, the Board shall set aside ½ of 1% of the Port District’s projected gross revenues for that year. For the purpose of this calculation, gross revenue shall not include anticipated grants from any source or any other restricted revenue source. Such money set aside shall be expended for specific environmental projects or allocated to a fund set aside within the Port District Revenue Fund for environmental projects within the Port District.

The Port Act allows the maintenance of a single, general fund (Port Act, Section 10) and does not allow the creation and maintenance of multiple funds. The Board can choose to set aside money for an Environmental Fund within the Port District Revenue Fund. Money so set aside may be accumulated for more than one year, but its use, from year-to-year, will be subject to the discretion of the Board.

Staff will recommend projects to the EACCommittee, which will provide comments. Staff will then make a recommendation to the Board to approve funding for projects.

1. Seek funding from sources other than the Fund, and/or
2. Seek matching funds from other sources.

2. TENANT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE LOAN PROGRAM

Purpose: The goal of the Environmental Loan Program is to achieve environmental compliance and produce an overall environmental benefit to the Bay.

Background: The Port Tenants’ Environmental Compliance Loan Program (Loan Program) was created by the Board in 2001 (Docket No. 43239, December 23, 2004, approved by resolution 2001-65, dated April 5, 2001, and amended by resolution 2001-273, dated December 11, 2001). The Loan Program provides a low-cost source of funds to Port tenants to support environmental remediation or tenant environmental enhancement. The Port makes available to its tenants low interest loans for projects that will improve the environmental conditions of the Bay. Tenants may qualify for a maximum amount of $100,000 at a low fixed interest rate, payable over 5 years.

Project Selection: Proposals are evaluated by a committee, which includes representatives from several Port departments, the Port Tenants Association and the Environmental Health Coalition.
In selecting projects, the Tenant Environmental Loan Committee will consider answers to such questions as:

- Will the proposed project further protect the natural resources of the Bay?
- Will the project enhance the survivability of marine or bird life, increase the visibility of endangered species and/or allow the survival of currently absent species?
- Will the project allow the removal of contamination from the Bay?
- Will the project result in an increase in the quality of the water, sediment and/or air quality of the area?

**Project Approval:** Recommended projects are submitted by the selection committee to the Committee, who will make a recommendation to the Board. The Board has sole discretion to award funds.

**Funding:** Loans are made available through a lending institution. A fixed interest rate for the life of the loan will be fixed on the day the loan is dispersed. The loan rate will be the interest-earning rate paid by the lending institution to the Port on the collateralized certificate of deposit, securing the loan plus one hundred twenty-five basis points (1.25%). The term is a maximum of five years.

RESOLUTION 20xx-xxx

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS POLICY NO. 730, THE PORT ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE POLICY, TO REFLECT CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS, TO INCLUDE OTHER NON-SUBSTANTIVE ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATES, AND TO RESCIND THE TENANT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE LOAN PROGRAM FOR WHICH NO APPLICATIONS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SINCE BOARD ADOPTION OF THE PROGRAM IN 2001

WHEREAS, the San Diego Unified Port District (District) is a public corporation created by the legislature in 1962 pursuant to Harbors and Navigation Code Appendix 1, (Port Act); and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2006, pursuant to resolution #2006-111, the Board of Port Commissioners (BPC) adopted Policy No. 730, the current Port Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) Policy; and

WHEREAS, BPC Policy No. 730 outlines the organization, structure and functions of the EAC; management of the Environmental Fund; and the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program; and

WHEREAS, BPC Policy No. 730 also details the Tenant Environmental Compliance Loan Program (Loan Program), which was adopted by the BPC on April 4, 2001, by resolution #2001-66, to provide low interest loans to tenants to support environmental remediation or environmental enhancement projects; and

WHEREAS, District staff propose updates to BPC Policy No. 730 to make it consistent with current District Planning & Green Port programs emphasizing the importance of the San Diego Bay for natural resources and the adoption of the Climate Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, District staff also recommends rescinding the tenant loan program, since no applications have been received since its inception in 2001; and

WHEREAS, on April 5, 2018 the EAC reviewed the proposed updates to BPC Policy No. 730 and concurred that these changes are appropriate; and
WHEREAS, a redline strikeout version of District staff’s proposed revisions to BPC Policy No. 730 are included as Attachment A to the corresponding agenda sheet.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Port Commissioners of the San Diego Unified Port District hereby approves the changes to BPC Policy No. 730 as set forth in Attachment A to the corresponding agenda sheet.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY: GENERAL COUNSEL

By: Assistant/Deputy

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Port Commissioners of the San Diego Unified Port District, this 10th day of April, 2018, by the following vote: